

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAYMOND J. MANZANILLO,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
GREGORY D. LEWIS, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 12-cv-05983-JST

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

The Court hereby sets the following case deadlines pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 and Civil Local Rule 16-10:

Event	Deadline
Deadline to add parties or amend the pleadings	August 24, 2015 <sup>1</sup>
Fact discovery cut-off	March 4, 2016
Expert disclosures	March 25, 2016
Expert rebuttal	April 8, 2016
Expert discovery cut-off	April 29, 2016
Deadline to file dispositive motions	June 17, 2016
Pretrial conference statement due	September 13, 2016

<sup>1</sup> This deadline having already passed, any amendment to the pleadings will require a showing of good cause.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Event	Deadline
Pretrial conference	September 23, 2016 at 2:00 p.m.
Trial	October 17, 2016 at 8:30 a.m.
Estimate of trial length (in days)	Six

Counsel may not modify these dates without leave of court. The parties shall comply with the Court's standing orders, which are available at [cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders](http://cand.uscourts.gov/jstorders).

A further case management conference is scheduled for January 20, 2016 at 2:00PM. The parties shall file an updated case management statement by January 6, 2016.

The parties must take all necessary steps to conduct discovery, compel discovery, hire counsel, retain experts, and manage their calendars so that they can complete discovery in a timely manner and appear at trial on the noticed and scheduled dates. All counsel must arrange their calendars to accommodate these dates, or arrange to substitute or associate in counsel who can.

Trial dates set by this Court should be regarded as firm. Requests for continuance are disfavored. The Court will not consider any event subsequently scheduled by a party, party-controlled witness, expert or attorney that conflicts with the above trial date as good cause to grant a continuance. The Court will not consider the pendency of settlement discussions as good cause to grant a continuance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 23, 2015

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JON S. TIGAR  
United States District Judge