United States District Court Northern District of California 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RASHEED HILSON,

v.

Plaintiff,

STARK, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>12-cv-06016-JD</u>

ORDER OF SERVICE Re: Dkt. No. 49

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brought a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action alleges that defendants, doctors and dentists at North Kern State Prison ("NKSP") and Salinas Valley State Prison ("SVSP"), failed to properly treat plaintiff"s dental problems. The Court previously dismissed the served defendants from NKSP. Docket No. 48. The Court noted that defendants Scanlon, Wittenberg, Edwards, Knorton and Johnson who were involved with treatment at SVSP had not been served and defendants Stark and McQuirter from NKSP had not been served. Plaintiff was ordered to provide additional information so the United States Marshal can serve these defendants.

Plaintiff has provided the address for SVSP and notes that he is no longer at the institution 21 and it is difficult for him to obtain information. Court staff contacted SVSP and contact 22 23 information was provided for Scanlon and Norton (not Knorton) who can be served at the facility. 24 Confidential addresses were provided for Wittenberg and Johnson. Court staff was unable to 25 obtain information for Edwards and plaintiff provided no information for Stark or McQuirter. Therefore, defendants Edwards, Stark, and McQuirter are dismissed from this action pursuant to 26 27 Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Service is ordered on Scanlon and Norton at SVSP. By concurrent order, 28 the Court will order service under seal to the confidential addresses for Wittenberg and Johnson.

1	CONCLUSION		
2	1. The motion for service (Docket No. 49) is GRANTED . Defendants Edwards,		
3	Stark, and McQuirter are DISMISSED from this action.		
4	2. The clerk shall issue a summons and the United States Marshal shall serve, without		
5	prepayment of fees, copies of the complaint with attachments and copies of this order on the		
6	following defendants: Dr. K Norton and Dr. D. Scanlon at Salinas Valley State Prison		
7	3. In order to expedite the resolution of this case, the court orders as follows:		
8	a. No later than sixty days from the date of service, defendant shall file a		
9	motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion. The motion shall be supported by		
10	adequate factual documentation and shall conform in all respects to Federal Rule of Civil		
11	Procedure 56, and shall include as exhibits all records and incident reports stemming from the		
12	events at issue. If defendant is of the opinion that this case cannot be resolved by summary		
13	judgment, he shall so inform the court prior to the date his summary judgment motion is due. All		
14	papers filed with the court shall be promptly served on the plaintiff.		
15	b. At the time the dispositive motion is served, defendant shall also serve, on a		
16	separate paper, the appropriate notice or notices required by Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 953-		
17	954 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), and Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n. 4 (9th Cir. 2003).		
18	See Woods v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934, 940-941 (9th Cir. 2012) (Rand and Wyatt notices must be		
19	given at the time motion for summary judgment or motion to dismiss for nonexhaustion is filed,		
20	not earlier); <i>Rand</i> at 960 (separate paper requirement).		
21	c. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion, if any, shall be filed with		
22	the court and served upon defendant no later than thirty days from the date the motion was served		
23	upon him. Plaintiff must read the attached page headed "NOTICE WARNING," which is		
24	provided to him pursuant to Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc),		
25	and <i>Klingele v. Eikenberry</i> , 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988).		
26	If defendant files a motion for summary judgment claiming that plaintiff failed to exhaust		
27	his available administrative remedies as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1997e(a), plaintiff should take		
28			

United States District Court Northern District of California

2

25

26

27

28

note of the attached page headed "NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)," which is provided 1 2 to him as required by Wyatt v. Terhune, 315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n. 4 (9th Cir. 2003). 3 d. If defendant wishes to file a reply brief, he shall do so no later than fifteen days after the opposition is served upon him. 4 The motion shall be deemed submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. 5 e. No hearing will be held on the motion unless the Court so orders at a later date. 6 7 4. All communications by plaintiff with the court must be served on defendant, or 8 defendant's counsel once counsel has been designated, by mailing a true copy of the document to 9 defendants or defendants' counsel. 5. Discovery may be taken in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 10 No further court order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2) is required before the 11 parties may conduct discovery. 12 6. It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the court 13 informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper with the clerk headed "Notice of Change of Address." He also must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to 14 do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of 15 Civil Procedure 41(b). 16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** 17 Dated: March 17, 2015 18 19 James A Donato United States District Judge 20 21 22 23 24

3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

NOTICE -- WARNING (SUMMARY JUDGMENT)

If defendants move for summary judgment, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact-that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

NOTICE -- WARNING (EXHAUSTION)

If defendants file a motion for summary judgment for failure to exhaust, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. If the motion is granted it will end your case.

You have the right to present any evidence you may have which tends to show that you did
exhaust your administrative remedies. Such evidence may be in the form of declarations
(statements signed under penalty of perjury) or authenticated documents, that is, documents
accompanied by a declaration showing where they came from and why they are authentic, or other
sworn papers, such as answers to interrogatories or depositions.

If defendants file a motion for summary judgment for failure to exhaust and it is granted,
your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

28

27

1			
2			
3			
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
6	RASHEED HILSON,		
7	Plaintiff,	Case No. <u>12-cv-06016-JD</u>	
8		CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
9	STARK, et al.,		
10	Defendants.		
11			
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.		
13			
14	That on 3/17/2015, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing		
15	said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.		
16			
17	Rasheed Hilson ID: G-37110 Corcoran California State Prison 3A-04-142 Low		
18	P.O. Box 3461 Corcoran, CA 93212		
19			
20			
21	Dated: 3/17/2015		
22	Ric	chard W. Wieking	
23	Cle	erk, United States District Court	
24			
25 26	Ву	Fice R. Llor DA K. CLAKK, Deputy Clerk to the	
26 27		SA R. CLARK, Deputy Clerk to the norable JAMES DONATO	
27			
28			

United States District Court Northern District of California