

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 VERNON L. WALKER,  
9 Plaintiff,

No. C 12-6059 SI

**ORDER SETTING BRIEFING  
SCHEDULE ON DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION TO DISMISS**

10 v.

11 SHERIFF G.J. AHERN, CAPT. K. JACKSON,  
12 LT. JAMES, DEPUTY TRACY, and DEPUTY  
POSEDEL,


13 Defendants.  
14 \_\_\_\_\_/

15 Plaintiff Walker failed to timely respond to defendants' motion to dismiss. The Court issued an  
16 order for Walker to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and for  
17 failure to keep the Court informed of his correct address. The Court has received Walker's timely  
18 response, which articulated why he had failed to prosecute and informed the Court of his current  
19 address. The Court is satisfied. In accordance with that response, the Court sets the following briefing  
20 schedule for defendants' motion to dismiss: **Response Due April 5, 2013; and Reply Due April 12,**  
21 **2013.**

22 The matter will be submitted for argument without hearing.  
23

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: March 25, 2013

26   
27 \_\_\_\_\_  
SUSAN ILLSTON  
United States District Judge

28