

1 Vicki S. Veenker (SBN 158669)
 Joshua C. Walsh-Benson (SBN 228983)
 2 Benjamin Petersen (SBN 267120)
 SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP
 3 Five Palo Alto Square, 6th Floor
 Palo Alto, CA 94306
 4 Telephone: (650) 838-3600
 Facsimile: (650) 838-3699
 5 Email: vveenker@shearman.com
 joshua.walsh-benson@shearman.com
 6 benjamin.petersen@shearman.com

7 Attorneys for Defendant
 GRASS VALLEY USA, LLC

8
 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 TECHNOLOGY LICENSING
 13 CORPORATION,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 GRASS VALLEY USA, LLC,

17 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:12-cv-06060-MEJ

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~
 ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO
 RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND
 RESET INITIAL CASE DEADLINES**

18
 19
 20 Pursuant to Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2(a), and subject to the Court’s approval, Defendant
 21 Grass Valley USA, LLC (“Grass Valley”) and Plaintiff Technology Licensing Corporation
 22 (“TLC”), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

23 WHEREAS, TLC served its Complaint for Patent Infringement (the “Complaint”) on
 24 December 3, 2012;

25 WHEREAS, the Court issued its Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference and
 26 ADR Deadlines on November 29, 2012 (the “Scheduling Order”);

1 WHEREAS, Grass Valley currently has until December 24, 2012 to answer or otherwise
2 respond to TLC's Complaint (Declaration of Vicki S. Veenker (the "Veenker Declaration"), filed
3 concurrently herewith, at ¶2);

4 WHEREAS, the parties have stipulated, pending approval of the Court, to an additional
5 **60 days** for Grass Valley to answer or respond to TLC's Complaint, enlarging the time for
6 response up to and including February 22, 2013 (Veenker Declaration, at ¶4); and

7 WHEREAS, because a 60-day extension would cause Grass Valley's response to be due
8 six days before the Initial Case Management Conference and after all other deadlines in the
9 Court's Scheduling Order, the parties have further stipulated to a **29-day** extension of time of the
10 deadlines set by the Court's Scheduling Order (Veenker Declaration, at ¶5).

11 NOW, THEREFORE, the parties, by and through their respective counsel, stipulate as
12 follows:

- 13 1. Grass Valley's time to answer or otherwise respond to TLC's Complaint shall be
14 extended 60 days to February 22, 2013.
- 15 2. The parties' last day to meet and confer regarding initial disclosures, early settlement,
16 ADR process, and discovery plan; to file ADR certification; and to file either
17 Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference shall be
18 extended 29 days to Friday, March 8, 2013.
- 19 3. The parties' last day to file the Rule 26(f) Report, complete initial disclosures or state
20 objections in that Rule 26(f) Report and file a Case Management Statement per the
21 Court's Standing Order re Contents of Joint Case Management Statements shall be
22 extended 29 days to Friday, March 22, 2013.
- 23 4. The Initial Case Management Conference shall be rescheduled by the Court to a date
24 on or after Friday, March 29, 2013.

25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: December 19, 2012

SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP

By: /s/ Vicki Veenker

Vicki S.Veenker
Joshua C. Walsh-Benson
Benjamin Petersen

Attorneys for Defendant
GRASS VALLEY USA, LLC

NIRO, HALLER & NIRO

By: /s/ Martin Fineman

Martin L. Fineman
Raymond P. Niro
Joseph N. Hosteny
Arthur A. Gasey

Attorneys for Plaintiff
TECHNOLOGY LICENSING
CORPORATION

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 20, 2012

The Honorable Maria-Elena James
United States Magistrate Judge

