

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MENLO PARK

1 ELIZABETH DAY (SBN 177125)
eday@feinday.com
2 IAN N. FEINBERG (SBN 88234)
ifeinberg@feinday.com
3 DAVID ALBERTI (SBM 220625)
dalberti@feinday.com
4 SAL LIM (SBM 211836)
slim@feinday.com
5 YAKOV ZOLOTOREV (SBM 224260)
yzolotorev@feinday.com
6 MARC BELLOLI (SBN 244290)
mbelloli@feinday.com
7 **FEINBERG DAY ALBERTI &
8 THOMPSON LLP**
9 1600 El Camino Real, Suite 200
10 Menlo Park, CA 94025
Tel: 650.618.4360
11 Fax: 650.618.4368

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff
13 PRAGMATUS TELECOM, LLC

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 PRAGMATUS TELECOM, LLC,
17 Plaintiff,
18
19 v.
20 TREND MICRO INCORPORATED,
21 Defendant.

YAR R. CHAIKOVSKY (SBN: 175421)
ychaikovsky@mwe.com
D. STUART BARTOW (SBN: 233107)
sbartow@mwe.com
McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100
Menlo Park, CA 94025-4004
Telephone: +1 650 815 7400
Facsimile: +1 650 815 7401

Attorneys for Defendant
TREND MICRO INCORPORATED

CASE NO. 12-cv-06195(EMC)
ELECTRONIC CASE FILING

**JOINT STIPULATED REQUEST TO
VACATE OR POSTPONE CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
SCHEDULED FOR NOVEMBER 21, 2013**

22 Pragmatius Telecom, LLC (“Pragmatius”) and Trend Micro Incorporated (“Trend Micro”)
23 (collectively, the “Parties”), hereby move the Court to vacate—or, in the alternative, postpone—
24 the case management conference currently set for November 21, 2013, and would respectfully
25 show the Court as follows:

26 1. On May 23, 2013, the Court approved the Parties’ joint stipulation to stay this
27 action, and accordingly ordered that the case be stayed pending the resolution of *Liveperson, Inc.*
28

1 v. *Pragmatus Telecom, LLC* (No. 1:12-cv-00147-RGA) (the “LivePerson Declaratory Judgment
2 Action”), currently pending in the District of Delaware. *See* Dkt. No. 30. In that action,
3 LivePerson, Inc. seeks judgment of (1) non-infringement of the patent-in-suit (the same patent as
4 is at issue in this litigation), and (2) invalidity of the patent-in-suit. It is the Parties’ expectation
5 that the outcome of the LivePerson Declaratory Judgment Action will resolve most, if not all,
6 issues presented in this litigation.

7 2. The LivePerson Declaratory Judgment Action is still pending in the District of
8 Delaware, and is proceeding apace before Judge Richard G. Andrews. A claim construction
9 hearing has been scheduled for December 18, 2013. The Parties believe it is likely that a claim
10 construction ruling will issue sometime in early- to mid-2014, with potential further proceedings
11 to follow.

12 3. Given the continued pendency of the LivePerson Declaratory Judgment Action
13 and the substantial work underway in Delaware, the Parties respectfully request that the Court
14 vacate the upcoming case management conference scheduled for November 21, 2013, or, in the
15 alternative, postpone the conference until a date at the Court’s convenience at least a few months
16 into 2014.

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

