

1 WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ
 MARC WOLINSKY (*pro hac vice*)
 2 GEORGE T. CONWAY III (*pro hac vice*)
 VINCENT G. LEVY (*pro hac vice*)
 3 51 West 52nd Street
 New York, NY 10019
 4 Tel./Fax: 212.403.1000/2000
 MWolinsky@wlrk.com
 5 GTConway@wlrk.com
 VGLevy@wlrk.com
 6
 7 FARELLA, BRAUN & MARTEL, LLP
 NEIL A. GOTEINER, State Bar No. 83524
 THOMAS B. MAYHEW, State Bar No. 183539
 8 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 9 Tel./Fax: 415.954.4400/4480
 NGoteiner@fbm.com
 10 TMayhew@fbm.com
 Attorneys for Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company

11
 12
 13
 14 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

16 IN RE HP ERISA LITIGATION

Master File No. 12-CV-06199 CRB

**STIPULATION AND ORDER RE
 BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

17
 18
 19 This Document Relates to: All Actions

Dept. Courtroom 6, 17th Floor
 Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer

1 WHEREAS, on June 3, 2013, plaintiffs filed their Consolidated Amended Class Action
2 Complaint For Violations Of The Employee Retirement Income Security Act (the “Amended Com-
3 plaint”) (Docket 50);

4 WHEREAS, on April 2, 2014, the Court granted the following motions: defendants Hewlett-
5 Packard Company 401(K) Plan, Hewlett-Packard Company, David W. Healy, Catherine A. Lesjak,
6 Marc A. Levine, John N. McMullen, James T. Murrin, John F. Schultz and Shoreline Investment
7 Management Company’s joint motion to dismiss (Docket 81); and defendant Michael J. Holston’s
8 individual motion to dismiss (Docket 78) without prejudice and granted plaintiffs leave to amend
9 (Docket 116);

10 WHEREAS, on July 16, 2014, plaintiffs filed their Second Consolidated Amended Class
11 Action Complaint For Violations Of The Employee Retirement Income Security Act (the “Second
12 Amended Complaint”) (Docket 121);

13 WHEREAS, by stipulation and order dated April 16, 2014, the Court set a schedule for
14 briefing defendants’ anticipated motions to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint (Docket 118),
15 according to which defendants’ motion would be due on September 2, 2014; plaintiffs’ brief in op-
16 position would be due on October 17, 2014, and defendants’ reply briefs would be due on Novem-
17 ber 17, 2014;

18 WHEREAS, counsel for the plaintiffs and for defendants desire to amend the briefing
19 schedule on the anticipated motions to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint;

20 NOW, THEREFORE, subject to the Court’s approval, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND
21 AGREED, by and among the undersigned parties, through their undersigned counsel of record, as
22 follows:

23 1. Defendants shall move to dismiss or otherwise respond to the Second Amended
24 Complaint on or before September 12, 2014.

25 2. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to defendants’ motion to dismiss, assuming such
26 motions are filed, on or before November 6, 2014.

1 3. Defendants shall file their replies in support of any motions to dismiss on or before
2 December 19, 2014.

3 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

4 DATED: July 31, 2014

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ

5
6 By: 
Marc Wolinsky

7
8 *Attorneys for Defendants Hewlett-Packard Company,*
9 *Shoreline Investment Management Company, and*
10 *Hewlett-Packard Company 401(k) Plan*

11 DATED: July 31, 2014

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI, PC

12 By: /s/ Steven M. Schatz
Steven M. Schatz

13
14 *Attorneys for Defendant Catherine A. Lesjak*

15 DATED: July 31, 2014

FENWICK & WEST LLP

16 By: /s/ Kevin Muck
Kevin Muck

17
18 *Attorneys for Defendants Marc Levine, John McMullen*
19 *and James Murrin*

20 DATED: July 31, 2014

ZAMANSKY LLC

21 By: /s/ Samuel Bonderoff
Samuel Bonderoff

22
23 *Interim Lead Class Counsel for Plaintiffs*
24
25
26

ORDER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing,

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: August 1, 2014

