

1 DAVID Y. CHUN, ESQ. SBN 187427
 2 Law Offices of David Y. Chun
 3 Twelve South First Street, Suite 912
 4 San Jose, California 95113
 5 (408) 995-0200
 6 (408) 228-5033 (f)
 7 dchun@chunlaw.com
 8 Attorney for Defendants PARK VENTURES, INC.,
 9 PILSANG PARK, SOON PARK, and HONG PARK

10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28
 29
 30
 31

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PIO SALVARO,

Plaintiff,

v.

PARK VENTURES, INC., PILSANG PARK,
 SOON PARK, and HONG PARK

Defendants.

Case No. CV-12-6357 SI

**JOINT STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE**

COME NOW Plaintiff PIO SALVARO (AKA "PIO SALVORO") and Defendants PARK VENTURES, INC., PILSANG PARK, SOON PARK, and HONG PARK by and through their undersigned counsel and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(ii), and file this Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order of Dismissal with Prejudice and show as follows:

The parties in the above-captioned case have agreed to resolve the matter through settlement and have entered into a Settlement Agreement and General Release. In light of that Settlement Agreement and General Release, Plaintiff agrees to dismiss his claim against Defendants WITH PREJUDICE.

///


JOINT STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE/Case No. CV-12-6357 SI

1 NOW THEREFORE, the parties stipulate and agree to the dismissal of the above-captioned case,
2 and each and every claim therein, with prejudice. All parties to bear their own costs in the above
3 captioned matter.

4 Respectfully Submitted,

5 Dated: June 5, 2013


6 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT
7 DAVID BAKER, INC.

8
9 By: 
10 Robert David Baker, Esq.
11 Attorney for Plaintiff PIO SALVARO

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 6/5/13

LAW OFFICES OF DAVID Y. CHUN

By: 
David Y. Chun
Attorney for Defendants PARK
VENTURES, INC., PILSANG PARK,
SOON PARK, and HONG PARK

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 ///


23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30 ///

PROPOSED ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that this matter is hereby DISMISSED
WITH PREJUDICE this ^{11th} day of ^{June} _____, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 6.11.12



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31