1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I. NEEL CHATTERJEE (STATE BAR NO. 1739 nchatterjee@orrick.com DIANA M. RUTOWSKI (STATE BAR NO. 233 drutowski@orrick.com JESSE CHENG (STATE BAR NO. 259909) jcheng@orrick.com JAMES FREEDMAN (STATE BAR NO. 28717) jfreedman@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 Telephone: +1-650-614-7400 Facsimile: +1-650-614-7401 Attorneys for Defendant NVIDIA CORPORATION	7519 Apache Plume 878) Houston, TX 77071 Tel.: (713) 729-4991 Fax.: (713) 729-4951 texascowboy6@gmail.com
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
15	FUZZYSHARP TECHNOLOGIES, INC,	Case No. 12-cv-6375-JST
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED DISMISSAL AND
17	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER
18	NVIDIA CORPORATION,	
19	Defendant.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

STIPULATED DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER 12-cv-6375-JST

1	Plaintiff Fuzzysharp Technologies, Inc. ("Fuzzysharp") and Defendant NVIDIA	
2	Corporation ("NVIDIA"), by and through their designated counsel, hereby submit this Stipulated	
3	Dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:	
4		
5	WHEREAS Fuzzysharp filed suit against NVIDIA on December 17, 2012 (Dkt. No. 1);	
6	WHEREAS on April 18, 2013, the Court granted NVIDIA's motion to dismiss	
7	Fuzzysharp's initial complaint with leave to amend by May 15, 2013 (Dkt. No. 28), after which	
8	Fuzzysharp filed an amended complaint on May 14, 2013 (Dkt. No. 29);	
9	WHEREAS on September 4, 2013, the Court granted in part NVIDIA's motion to dismiss	
10	the amended complaint, dismissing with prejudice Fuzzysharp's claim under U.S. Patent No.	
11	6,618,047 ("the '047 patent") and leaving only the claim of willful, direct infringement of U.S.	
12	Patent No. 6,172,679 ("the '679 patent") (Dkt. No. 42);	
13	WHEREAS NVIDIA has alleged counterclaims for declaratory judgment of non-	
14	infringement and invalidity of the '679 patent (Dkt. No. 43);	
15	WHEREAS Fuzzysharp previously sued Intel Corporation for infringement of the	
16	'679 and '047 patents in Fuzzysharp Techs. Inc. v. Intel Corp, Case No. 12-CV-04413-YGR	
17	(N.D. Cal. filed Aug. 22, 2012) ("Intel");	
18	WHEREAS on November 7, 2013, the Court in <i>Intel</i> issued an Order finding all claims	
19	asserted in that case to be invalid and not patent eligible under 35 U.S.C. § 101 (<i>Intel</i> Dkt. No. 74	
20	(Order Construing Claim Terms in Dispute and Granting Summary Judgment in Favor of	
21	Defendant Intel Corporation)); and	
22	WHEREAS counsel for Fuzzysharp has indicated its intent to appeal the decision in <i>Intel</i> :	
23		
24	THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE that Fuzzysharp's remaining claim in this case be	
25	DISMISSED and that this case be closed. The parties agree that the dismissal of this claim under	
26	the '679 patent is WITHOUT PREJUDICE to Fuzzysharp's ability to file a new complaint	
27	re-alleging that claim if the finding of invalidity of the '679 Patent is reversed upon conclusion of	
28	all appeals in <i>Intel</i> , and if Fuzzysharp files a new complaint, damages shall be as though the	
	1	

1	present complaint had not been dismissed. Any such complaint must be filed in this Court within		
2	180 days of the termination of all proceedings including any appeals in <i>Intel</i> .		
3	THE PARTIES FURTHER STIPULATE that NVIDIA's counterclaims be DISMISSED		
4	WITHOUT PREJUDICE.		
5			
6	Dated: December 2, 2013	Dated: December 2, 2013	
7	Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP		
8	By: /s/ I. Neel Chatterjee I. Neel Chatterjee	sy: /s/ <i>David Fink</i> David Fink	
10	Attorneys for Defendant ANVIDIA CORP.	Attorney for Plaintiff UZZYSHARP TECHNOLOGIES INC.	
11			
12	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), counsel for NVIDIA has obtained the concurrence		
13	of Fuzzysharp's counsel in the filing of this Stipulated Dismissal.		
14			
15	Dated: December 2, 2013	Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP	
16 17	<u>B</u>	ly: /s/ <i>I. Neel Chatterjee</i> I. Neel Chatterjee	
18		attorneys for Defendant	
19	N	IVIDIÀ CORP.	
20			
21			
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:		
23		Q.1. 2	
24	Dated: December 2, 2013	Jon S. Tigar	
25		United States District Judge	
26			
27			