

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ELIZABETH COX,

No. C 12-06377 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE


GENERAL MILLS, INC.,

Defendant.

Upon review of the parties' renewed request for an extension, the existing case deadlines are **MODIFIED** as follows: defendant shall answer the complaint or file its motion by **MARCH 7 AT NOON**; if defendant moves to dismiss, plaintiff's opposition thereto will be due by **MARCH 28 AT NOON**; any reply will be due by **APRIL 4 AT NOON**; the motion will be heard on **APRIL 18 AT 8:00 A.M.** The initial case management conference is **RESCHEDULED** for **APRIL 18 AT 11:00 A.M.** This order should not be interpreted as a *de facto* stay of the parties' initial discovery obligations.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 11, 2013.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE