1 KEITH SLENKOVICH (SBN: 129793) WILLIAM F. LEE (pro hac vice) JOSEPH F. HAAG (SBN: 248749) WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND RUSSELL TONKOVICH (SBN: 223280) 2 DORR LLP **TOBY MOCK (SBN: 265004)** 60 State Street 3 Boston, Massachusetts 02109 CRYSTAL ROBERTS (SBN: 284622) Tel. (617) 526-6000 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE 4 AND DORR LLP Fax. (617) 526-5000 950 Page Mill Road William.Lee@wilmerhale.com 5 Palo Alto, California 94304 Tel. (650) 858-6000 Fax. (650) 858-6100 Attorneys for Defendant-Counterclaimant 6 View, Inc. FILED Keith.Slenkovich@wilmerhale.com 7 Joseph.Haag@wilmerhale.com Russell.Tonkovich@wilmerhale.com 8 MAR 1 9 2014 Tobias.Mock@wilmerhale.com Crystal.Roberts@wilmerhale.com 9 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION SAGE ELECTROCHROMICS, INC., No. C-12-6441 (JST) Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant, STIPULATION AND PROPOSED VS. ORDER GRANTING VIEW, INC.'S REQUEST TO AMEND INVALIDITY VIEW, INC., CONTENTIONS PURSUANT TO PATENT L.R. 3-6 Defendant-Counterclaimant. 18 LEYBOLD OPTICS, GMBH, 19 Intervenor-Plaintiff, 20 VS. 21 VIEW, INC., 22 Defendant. 23 24 25 26 27 28 1 STIPULATION REGARDING VIEW'S REQUEST 12-6441-JST TO AMEND INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant-Counterclaimant View, Inc. ("View") and Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant SAGE Electrochromics, Inc. ("SAGE"), through their undersigned counsel, hereby agree and stipulate as follows: WHEREAS, View served its Patent L.R. 3-3 Invalidity Contentions on August 1, 2013 pursuant to the Court's Scheduling Order (ECF No. 57);

WHEREAS, on February 24, 2014, View notified SAGE of its intent to request the Court's leave to amend its August 1, 2013 Invalidity Contentions with respect to U.S. Pat. No. 7,372,610, which is asserted by SAGE in this litigation, and WHEREAS, concurrent with the foregoing notification, View provided SAGE with copies of its proposed Amended Invalidity Contentions:

WHEREAS, SAGE does not oppose View's request to amend its August 1, 2013 Invalidity Contentions as represented in View's February 24, 2014 correspondence;

WHEREAS, View respectfully submits that good cause exists to amend its invalidity contentions pursuant to Patent L.R. 3-6 because the proposed amendments:

- (a) incorporate confidential information recently produced by Sage in discovery relating to the technical features of SAGE's products that View alleges qualify as prior art to the '610 patent; and
- conform View's invalidity theories to the new infringement theories set forth by (b) SAGE in its January 28, 2014 Amended Infringement Contentions.

WHEREAS, View further submits that it acted diligently in seeking this amendment since neither of these bases for amendment was previously available to View outside the confidential discovery and disclosure mechanisms of this case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, SAGE and View agreed on March 14, 2014 that, in order not to burden the Court, the parties will stipulate to allow View to amend its Invalidity Contentions, subject to the Court's approval.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between View and SAGE, subject to the Court's approval, that View is hereby given leave to amend its Patent L.R. 3-3 Invalidity Contentions.

DATED: March

DATED: March 1#, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

Attorneys for Defendant-Counterclaimant View, Inc.

By:

Attorneys for Plaintiff-Counterclaim Defendant SAGE Electrochromics, Inc.

(PROPOSED)-ORDER

Good cause having been shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant-Counterclaimant View, Inc.'s request to amend its Patent L.R. 3-3 Invalidity Contentions is GRANTED. View is hereby ordered to serve copies of its Amended Invalidity Contentions on counsel for SAGE.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT