1	David T. Pritikin (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) dpritikin@sidley.com	George A. Riley (S.B. #118304) griley@omm.com	
2	Sidley Austin LLP	Mark E. Miller (S.B. #130200)	
3	1 South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60603	markmiller@omm.com Luann L. Simmons (S.B. #203526)	
	Telephone: (312) 853-7000	lsimmons@omm.com	
4	Facsimile: (312) 853-7036	Michael Sapoznikow (S.B. #242640) msapoznikow@omm.com	
5	Aseem S. Gupta (S.B. # 252858) agupta@sidley.com	O'Melveny & Myers LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor	
6	M. Patricia Thayer (S.B. #90818) pthayer@sidley.com	San Francisco, CA 94111	
7	Philip W. Woo (S.B. #196459)	Telephone: (415) 984-8700 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701	
8	pwoo@sidley.com Sidley Austin LLP	Xavier A. Clark (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
	555 Čalifornia Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94104	xavier.clark@klarquist.com Kristin L. Cleveland (S.B. #184639)	
9	Telephone: (415) 772-1200	kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com	
10	Facsimile: (415) 772-7400	Salumeh R. Loesch (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) salumeh.loesch@klarguist.com	
11	I. Neel Chatterjee (S.B. #173985) nchatterjee@orrick.com	Jeffrey S. Love (S.B. #195068)	
12	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 1000 Marsh Road	jeffrey.love@klarquist.com Andrew M. Mason (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
	Menlo Park, CA 94025	andrew.mason@klarquist.com John D. Vandenberg (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
13	Telephone: (650) 614-7400 Facsimile: (650) 614-7401	john.vandenberg@klarquist.com	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Philip J. Warrick (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) philip.warrick@klarquist.com	
15	SYNOPSYS, INC.	Owen D. Yeates (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) owen.yeates@klarquist.com	
16		Klarquist Sparkman, LLP	
		121 S.W. Salmon Street, Suite 1600 Portland, OR 97204	
17		Telephone: (503) 595-5300 Facsimile: (503) 595-5301	
18			
19		Attorneys for Defendant MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION	
20			
21	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
22	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
23	SYNOPSYS, INC., a Delaware	Case No. 3:12-cv-06467-MMC	
24	Corporation	THIRD STIPULATION AND	
25	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE TO FILE	
26	v.	MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY	
20	MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION, an Oregon Corporation,		
	Defendant.		
28	THIRD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORD	ER EXTENDING	
	THE DEADLINE TO FILE MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY CASE NO. 3:12-CV-06467-MMC		

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc.

("Synopsys") and Defendant Mentor Graphics Corp. ("Mentor Graphics") as follows: WHEREAS, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 29 provides that the Parties may stipulate to extend discovery;

WHEREAS, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 29(b) provides that Court approval is required to extend discovery, even where the Parties have stipulated to the extension;

WHEREAS, pursuant to Magistrate Judge Ryu's Order of August 1, 2014, if the parties are unable to resolve the seven discovery disputes of Dkt. 219 without judicial intervention by the August 5, 2014 deadline, all such disputes shall be filed as a single joint discovery letter of no more than 15 pages;

WHEREAS, the Parties still are continuing to discuss Mentor's challenges to certain of Synopsys' documents designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only," detailed in counsel for Mentor's July 15, 2014 letter to counsel for Synopsys and the dispute will be narrowed through further supplementation and/or cooperation;

17 WHEREAS, the deadline for filing a letter brief on designation challenges 18 pursuant to § 7.3 of the Stipulated Protective Order at Dkt. 146 is five business days 19 after the parties' meet and confer session;

20 NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, the Parties hereby 21 stipulate to extend the deadline on which to file the letter brief as to Mentor's 22 challenges to certain of Synopsys' documents designated "Highly Confidential -23 Attorneys' Eyes Only," detailed in counsel for Mentor's July 15, 2014 letter to 24 counsel for Synopsys, to August 7, 2014.

26

25

27

28

THIRD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE DEADLINE TO FILE MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY CASE NO. 3:12-CV-06467-MMC

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2	Dated: August 5, 2014 B	y:/s/ Salumeh R. Loesch
3		Salumeh R. Loesch
4		ttorneys for Defendant IENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION
5	5	
6	Dated: August 5, 2014 B	y: /s/ Philip W. Woo
7		Philip W. Woo
8	B A S	ttorneys for Plaintiff YNOPSYS, INC.
9		
10		
11	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), the filer of this document attests that	
12	concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other	
13	signatory above.	
14		
15	;	
16	Dated: August 5, 2014 B	y: /s/ <i>Philip W. Woo</i> Philip W. Woo
17	,	Timp W. Woo
18	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
19		
20		
21	DATED: <u>August 7, 2014</u>	Mafine M. Chesney
22		United States District Judge
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	THIRD STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE DEADLINE TO FILE MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY CASE NO. 3:12-CV-06467-MMC	