UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SYNOPSYS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 3:12-cv-06467-MMC

v.

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION, Defendant.

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- □ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- \Box Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- \Box Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

X Private ADR (*please identify process and provider*). Mediation before JAMS mediator Hon. Edward A. Infante (Ret.) was conducted on January 21, 2013. The parties agree to continue the discussions started with Judge Infante.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- □ the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)
- X other requested deadline. The parties conducted mediation on January 21, 2013.
- || || ||
- //

Dated: March 8, 2013

I. NEEL CHATTERJEE WILLIAM H. WRIGHT VICKIE L. FEEMAN Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP

DOUGLAS E. LUMISH JEFFREY G. HOMRIG GABRIEL S. GROSS JOSEPH H. LEE Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman, LLP

M. PATRICIA THAYER PHILIP W. WOO Sidley Austin LLP

By: /s/ William H. Wright_

Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.

Dated: March 8, 2013

GEORGE A. RILEY MARK E. MILLER MICHAEL SAPOZNIKOW ELIZABETH OFFEN-BROWN O'Melveny & Meyers, LLP

By: /s/ Mark E. Miller

Attorneys for Defendant MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), counsel for SYNOPSYS, INC. has obtained the concurrence of MENTOR GRAPHICS counsel in the filing of this Stipulation.

By: /s/ William H. Wright

William H. Wright Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.

- [PROPOSED] ORDER

- The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
- \square The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 12, 2013

Mafine M. Chesm NORABLE MAX TINE M. CHES

United States Senior District Judge

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."

Rev. 12/11