

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9

10 SYNOPSIS, INC.,

No. C-12-6467 MMC

11 Plaintiff,

AMENDED*
ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR LEAVE
TO FILE MOTION FOR PARTIAL
SUMMARY JUDGMENT; VACATING
HEARING

12 v.

13 MENTOR GRAPHICS CORPORATION,

14 Defendant.
15 _____/

16
17 Before the Court is defendant's motion, filed September 12, 2013, for leave to file a
18 motion for partial summary judgment as to one of the four patents at issue in the above-
19 titled action. Plaintiff has filed opposition, to which defendant has replied.

20 Having read and considered the parties' respective written submissions, the Court
21 finds it preferable to address the issues raised by the proposed summary judgment motion
22 at the same time as the Court addresses the summary judgment motion to be directed at
23 the other three patents-in-suit. In particular, by that later date, not only the Court in
24 resolving those issues, but also the parties in structuring their respective arguments, will
25 have heard and can reference the evidence offered at the tutorial and, hopefully, will have
26 had the benefit of one or more decisions clarifying the subject of patentability as well.

27 _____
28 * The sole amendment is to vacate the scheduled hearing.

1 Accordingly, the motion for leave to file a motion for partial summary judgment is
2 hereby DENIED.

3 In light of the above, the hearing scheduled for October 18, 2013 is hereby
4 VACATED.

5
6 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

7
8 Dated: October 16, 2013



MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge