25

26

27

28

```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
                      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 7
                    FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 8
                                          Case No. CV 12-6504 SC
 9
    THE FLOREY INSTITUTE OF
                                          ORDER RE: CASE SCHEDULING
10
    NEUROSCIENCE AND MENTAL HEALTH,
11
                Plaintiff,
12
        v.
13
    KLEINER PERKINS CAUFIELD &
    BYERS, KPCB HOLDINGS, INC.,
14
    DOMAIN ASSOCIATES, LLC, DOMAIN
    PARTNERS V, L.P., DP V
15
    ASSOCIATES, L.P., DOMAIN
    PARTNERS VII, L.P., DP VII
    ASSOCIATES, L.P., SEARS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LOWELL SEARS,
16
17
    Individually and as Trustee of
    The Sears Trust and The Sears
18
    Trust Dated 3/11/91, CAXTON
    ADVANTAGE VENTURE PARTNERS,
19
    L.P., CAXTON ADVANTAGE
    LIFE SCIENCES FUND, L.P.,
20
    STANLEY E. ABEL, PETER M.
    BREINING, AND THOMAS. G.
21
    WIGGANS,
22
                Defendants.
23
24
```

Defendant Thomas G. Wiggans ("Defendant") recently filed an administrative motion to set a uniform hearing date on this case's pending motions to dismiss and to suspend all other dates in this case's scheduling order. ECF No. 71 ("Mot."); see also ECF No. 29

("Scheduling Order"). The other above-captioned Defendants joined in the motion. ECF No. 73 ("Joinder"). Plaintiff opposes the motion, arguing that the Court should not suspend its earlier scheduling order, but that it should extend discovery by two or three months and accordingly move the now-scheduled May 2014 trial to a later date. ECF No. 75 ("Opp'n"). Plaintiff takes no position on setting a uniform hearing date for the pending motions to dismiss, though it asks the Court not to defer consideration of the later-filed motion.

Having considered the briefs and related papers, the Court GRANTS Defendant's administrative motion as modified. The January 24, 2014 hearing date on the earlier-filed motion to dismiss, ECF No. 53, is VACATED. That motion will be heard on the papers. The hearing date for the later-filed motion to dismiss is undisturbed.

See ECF No. 77 (set for hearing on February 21, 2014). All dates currently set in the Scheduling Order are VACATED. If necessary, the parties shall meet and confer to propose a revised case management schedule no later than thirty (30) days following the Court's order on the two motions to dismiss. A new case management conference is set for April 4, 2014.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 14, 2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE