

1  
2 \*E-filed 4/26/13\*  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

10 CHRISTOPHER JAMES CASTILLO,

No. C 12-6511 RS (PR)

11 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT  
WITH LEAVE TO AMEND**

12 v.

13 ELMSWOOD CORRECTIONAL  
14 FACILITY, et al.,

15 Defendants.

16 /  
17 **INTRODUCTION**

18 This is a federal civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by a *pro se* state  
19 prisoner. The Court now reviews the complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a).

20 **DISCUSSION**

21 **A. Standard of Review**

22 A federal court must conduct a preliminary screening in any case in which a prisoner  
23 seeks redress from a governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity.

24 *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). In its review, the court must identify any cognizable claims and  
25 dismiss any claims that are frivolous, malicious, fail to state a claim upon which relief may  
26 be granted or seek monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. *See id.*  
27 § 1915A(b)(1),(2). *Pro se* pleadings must be liberally construed. *See Balistreri v. Pacifica*

28  
No. C 12-6511 RS (PR)  
ORDER OF DISMISSAL

1 *Police Dep’t*, 901 F.2d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 1988).

2 A “complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to ‘state a claim  
3 to relief that is plausible on its face.’” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009)  
4 (quoting *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). “A claim has facial  
5 plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the  
6 reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged.” *Id.* (quoting  
7 *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 556). Furthermore, a court “is not required to accept legal conclusions  
8 cast in the form of factual allegations if those conclusions cannot reasonably be drawn from  
9 the facts alleged.” *Clegg v. Cult Awareness Network*, 18 F.3d 752, 754–55 (9th Cir. 1994).  
10 To state a claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, a plaintiff must allege two essential elements: (1)  
11 that a right secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States was violated, and (2)  
12 that the alleged violation was committed by a person acting under the color of state law. *See*  
13 *West v. Atkins*, 487 U.S. 42, 48 (1988).

14 **B. Legal Claims**

15 Plaintiff alleges in a conclusory fashion that defendant Arnt, a correctional officer at  
16 the Santa Clara County Main Jail, repeatedly raped him in 2005 and 2006, in violation of the  
17 Eighth Amendment. This is not sufficient to state a claim under § 1983. Accordingly, the  
18 complaint is DISMISSED with leave to amend. In his amended complaint, plaintiff must  
19 provide more details regarding the actual events, such as times, dates, places, and the specific  
20 actions Arnt took.

21 Plaintiff’s other claims, which contain allegations regarding the poor quality of food,  
22 the coldness of the cells, and the violent actions of other correctional officers, are  
23 DISMISSED without prejudice because they involve different claims against different  
24 defendants. If plaintiff wishes to pursue these claims, he must file a separate civil rights  
25 action. Accordingly, Elmswood Correctional Facility, and Correctional Officers Kenedy,  
26 Shonda Santos, Ledezma, and Duarte are TERMINATED as defendants.

27

28

No. C 12-6511 RS (PR)  
ORDER OF DISMISSAL

1        **Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint on or before June 1, 2013.** The amended  
2 complaint must address all the deficiencies listed above, and include the caption and civil  
3 case number used in this order (12-6511 RS (PR)) and the words FIRST AMENDED  
4 COMPLAINT on the first page. Because an amended complaint completely replaces the  
5 previous complaints, plaintiff must include in his first amended complaint all the claims he  
6 wishes to present and all of the defendants he wishes to sue. *See Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d  
7 1258, 1262 (9th Cir. 1992). Plaintiff may *not* incorporate material from the prior complaint  
8 by reference. Failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with this order will result  
9 in dismissal of this action without further notice to plaintiff.

10        It is plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. Plaintiff must keep the Court  
11 informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper with the clerk headed "Notice of  
12 Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion or ask for  
13 an extension of time to do so. Failure to comply may result in the dismissal of this  
14 action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

15        **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16        DATED: April 26, 2013



RICHARD SEEBORG  
United States District Judge

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

No. C 12-6511 RS (PR)  
ORDER OF DISMISSAL