

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MICHELLE GAZAVE

No. C 13-00063 RS

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER DENYING WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE APPLICATION TO  
PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS,**

AARON PERSKY,

Defendant

---

Plaintiff seeks leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, although no actual application appears in the docket. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, a district court may authorize the commencement of a civil action *in forma pauperis* if the court is satisfied that the would-be plaintiff cannot pay the filing fees necessary to pursue the action. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). The court may deny *in forma pauperis* status, however, if it appears from the face of the proposed complaint that the action is frivolous or without merit. *O'Loughlin v. Doe*, 920 F.2d 614, 616 (9th Cir. 1990); *Tripati v. First National Bank & Trust*, 821 F.2d 1368, 1370 (9th Cir. 1987).

As presently drafted, the complaint is without merit in that it fails to set forth a cognizable claim. The named defendant is a California Superior Court Judge who is alleged to have made incorrect rulings in a family law proceeding in Santa Clara Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff intends to assert a claim against the defendant individually, as a judge he is entitled to absolute immunity for the types of acts alleged. To the extent plaintiff is attempting to overturn the legal

1 rulings, there is no jurisdiction in this Court for such collateral attacks on state court decisions.  
2 Accordingly, plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* is denied without prejudice. If  
3 plaintiff does not pay the filing fee by February 22, 2013, the Court will dismiss the action without  
4 prejudice.

5  
6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7  
8  
9  
10 Dated: 1/15/13

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RICHARD SEEBORG  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE