	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	STEVEN C. MITCHELL, ESQ., SBN 124644 ROBERT W. HENKELS, ESQ., SBN 225410 GEARY, SHEA, O'DONNELL, GRATTAN & MITCHELL, P.C. 37 Old Courthouse Square, Fourth Floor Santa Rosa, California 95404 Telephone: 707/545-1660 Facsimile: 707/545-1876 Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF ROHNERT PARK and ROHNERT PARK DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY	
	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	<ol> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ol>	PEDRO DECTOR AND FLORIBERTO PEREZ OJEDA and all others similarly situated,, Plaintiffs, v. CITY OF ROHNERT PARK, ROHNERT PARK DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY and DOES 1-5, inclusive, Defendants. CITY OF ADATES COUNT.	
	21 22	TO THIS HONORABLE COURT: The parties, by and through their respective counsel, and after careful negotiations regarding	
	22	the factual and legal bases of plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint and defendants' expected Motion	
	24	to Dismiss, hereby agree and stipulate as follows:	
	25	1. Plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint in response to defendants' prior Motion to	
LAW OFFICES OF <b>GEARY</b> ,	26	Dismiss. In accordance with the amended complaint, the hearing on defendants' Motion to Dismiss	
SHEA, O'DONNELL, GRATTAN & MITCHELL P.C.	27	was taken off calendar. Since that time, the parties have met and conferred with respect to the legal	
	28	and factual bases of plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. The parties agree and acknowledge that	
		<ul> <li>- 1 -</li> <li>Stipulation for Dismissal of First Claim of Relief and Agreement with Respect to Controlling Facts; [Proposed] Order</li> <li>Dismissing First Claim of Relief with Prejudice</li> <li>Dockets.Justia.c</li> </ul>	; PI

defendants shall file a renewed Motion to Dismiss challenging the legal bases of plaintiffs' First
 Amended Complaint. As a result of their discussions, the parties hereby agree that the Court's
 review of the factual and legal issues raised by plaintiffs' complaint shall be in accordance with this
 stipulation.

5 2. Defense counsel has provided plaintiffs' counsel with a police report and other official documents related to the impoundment of plaintiffs' vehicles. Based on the facts contained 6 7 in those documents, some of which materially differ from those stated in the First Amended Complaint, plaintiffs have elected not to proceed on the First Cause of Action for Relief for 8 9 Violation of the Fourth Amendment. The parties agree that the Court shall immediately dismiss 10 with prejudice the First Claim for Relief for Violation of the Fourth Amendment. Defendants shall be entitled to rely upon this Stipulation and shall not be required to raise any argument or establish 11 any burden of proof with respect to the Fourth Amendment claims in any Motion to Dismiss or 12 subsequent proceedings. In accordance with this Stipulation, subparagraphs (3) and (4) of 13 14 paragraph 39, and paragraphs 55-58, pertaining to the Fourth Amendment claims, shall be immediately stricken and shall not be considered by the Court in review of any Motion or in 15 subsequent proceedings, and plaintiffs shall not include any similar factual allegations or claims in 16 any subsequent amended complaint should leave to amend later be granted. 17

3. Any information contained in any official reports which defendants submit with the 18 19 Motion to Dismiss, such as police or impound reports, filed in accordance with this Stipulation shall be taken as true for purposes of review of that motion and shall control over any conflicting facts 2021 alleged in the First Amended Complaint. Any documents that do not constitute an official report may not be submitted with the Motion to Dismiss unless plaintiffs' counsel agrees beforehand that 22 23 the documents are sufficiently reliable for purposes of adjudicating the legal issues raised by the 24 First Amended Complaint. Any such agreement shall be noted in the Motion to Dismiss with reference to the documents submitted by defendants in accordance with the agreement. 25

LAW OFFICES OF GEARY, SHEA, O'DONNELL, GRATTAN & MITCHELL P.C.

26

27

28

///

///

///

Stipulation for Dismissal of First Claim of Relief and Agreement with Respect to Controlling Facts; [Proposed] Order Dismissing First Claim of Relief with Prejudice

- 2 -

	1	4. The parties agree and stipulate that the above negotiations and agreements have
	2	substantially impacted the facts and legal issues presented by the First Amended Complaint and
	3	the time allotted for defendants to prepare their Motion to Dismiss. Accordingly, the parties agree
	4	that the time to respond to plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint shall be extended, and that
	5	defendants shall file a Motion to Dismiss on or before May 3, 2013. This extension of time for
	6	defendants to respond does not alter the date of any event or deadline already fixed by this Court.
	7	This extension of time shall be without prejudice to the parties' respective rights to request
	8	additional extensions of time or a continuance of the hearing date on the Motion to Dismiss in
	9	accordance with law on good cause shown.
	10	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
	11	
	12	
	13	DATED: April 26, 2013
	14	
	15	By <u>/s/ Mark T. Clausen</u> MARK T. CLAUSEN
	16	Attorneys for Plaintiffs PEDRO DECTOR and FLORIBERTO PEREZ
	17	OJEDA
	18	
	19	DATED: April 26, 2013 GEARY, SHEA, O'DONNELL, GRATTAN & MITCHELL, P.C.
	20	
	21	By /s/ Robert W. Henkels
	22	ROBERT W. HENKELS Attorneys for Defendants
	23	CITY OF ROHNERT PARK and ROHNERT PARK DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
	24	
LAW OFFICES OF	25	
GEARY, SHEA, O'DONNELL, GRATTAN & MITCHELL	26	
	27	
P.C.	28	- 3 -
		Stipulation for Dismissal of First Claim of Relief and Agreement with Respect to Controlling Facts; [Proposed] Order Dismissing First Claim of Relief with Prejudice

I

	1	ORDER
	2	After review of the parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby orders
	3	as follows: The First Claim for Relief of plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint alleging an unlawful
	4	seizure in violation of the Fourth Amendment is hereby DISMISSED with prejudice. As the parties
	5	already contemplate a motion to dismiss challenging the legal bases of plaintiffs' remaining claims
	6	for relief, plaintiffs are not at this time required to prepare or file an amended complaint consistent
	7	with this Order. Defendants shall be entitled to file official reports with their Motion that are
	8	consistent with the parties' stipulation and the facts therein shall control over allegations in the First
	9	Amended Complaint for purposes of their Motion to Dismiss.
	10	IT IS SO ORDERED.
	11	
	12	Dated: 4/26/13 Rihlberhand
	13	United States District Court Judge
	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
LAW OFFICES OF GEARY, SHEA, O'DONNELL, GRATTAN & MITCHELL P.C.	26	
	27	
	28	
		- 4 - Stipulation for Dismissal of First Claim of Relief and Agreement with Respect to Controlling Facts; [Proposed] Order

Dismissing First Claim of Relief with Prejudice