1		
2		
3		
4		
5	NOT FOR PUBLICATION	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	ISAAC KAVALAN,	No. C 13-00162 JSW
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING SECOND EX PARTE APPLICATION TO
11	v.	VACATE THE ORDER AND
12	ATTORNEY NIKKI CLARK, and COMMISSIONER THOMAS J. NIXON, et	JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR LEAVE TO AMEND
13	al.,	
14	Defendants.	(Docket No. 44)
15	/	

16 This matter comes before the Court upon consideration of the ex parte application to 17 vacate the order and judgment of dismissal or, in the alternative, for leave to amend filed by 18 Plaintiff, Isaac Kavalan ("Mr. Kavalan"). On April 16, 2013, the Court granted Defendants' 19 motions to dismiss and it concluded that it lacked jurisdiction over this matter. The Court also 20 found that even if it had jurisdiction, Mr. Kavalan had failed to state a claim against the named 21 defendants based on the principles of quasi-judicial and judicial immunity. (Docket No. 32.) 22 On April 25, 2012, Mr. Kavalan moved to vacate the judgment, pursuant to Federal Rules of 23 Civil Procedure 60(b)(1) and 60(b)(4) and, in the alternative, asked for leave to amend his 24 complaint to name the Superior Court of the State of California, Regina Thomas and Phillip 25 Montes.

On April 30, 2013, the Court granted, in part, and denied, in part, that motion. The
Court denied the motion to vacate the judgment to the extent it asked to vacate the order
dismissing the claims against Commissioner Nixon and Ms. Clark. The Court did, however,

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

grant Mr. Kavalan leave to amend his complaint to name Ms. Thomas and Mr. Montes as 1 2 Defendants. (See Docket No. 43.)

On May 2, 2013, Mr. Kavalan filed a second *ex parte* motion to vacate the Order entered on April 30, 2013, and he again asks the Court to revisit its decision to dismiss the claims against Commissioner Nixon and Ms. Clark, and asks for leave to amend his claims against those Defendants. For the reasons previously stated in the Order granting the motion to dismiss, and in the Order denying the first *ex parte* motion for relief from judgment, the Court DENIES Mr. Kavalan's second ex parte motion.

9 If Mr. Kavalan wishes to file an amended complaint on the terms set forth in the Court's 10 April 30, 2013 Order, he may do so by May 24, 2013. If Mr. Kavalan does not file an amended complaint by that date, the Court shall dismiss the case with prejudice and shall enter judgment.

12 The Court again advises Mr. Kavalan that the Handbook for Pro Se Litigants, contains 13 helpful information about proceeding without an attorney, is available through the Court's 14 website or in the Clerk's office. The Court also advises Mr. Kavalan that he also may wish to 15 seek assistance from the Legal Help Center. Mr. Kavalan may call the Legal Help Center at 16 415-782-9000, extension 8657, or sign up on the 15th Floor of the Courthouse, Room 2796, for 17 a free appointment with an attorney who may be able to provide basic legal help, but not legal 18 representation.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.** 

20 Dated: May 8, 2013

TES DISTRICT JUDGE

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE	
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4		
5		
6	ISAAC KAVALAN, Case Number: CV13-00162 JSW	
7	Plaintiff, <b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b>	
8	v.	
9	ATTORNEY NIKKI CLARK et al,	
10	/ Defendant.	
11		
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S.	
13	said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office	
14		
15	delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.	
16		
17	Isaac Kavalan 5622 Buchanan Place	
18	Fremont, CA 94538	
19	Dated: May 8, 2013	
20	Dated: May 8, 2013 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk	
21	By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## United States District Court For the Northern District of California