1	
2	
3	
4	
5	NOT FOR PUBLICATION
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	ISAAC KAVALAN, No. C 13-00162 JSW
10	Plaintiff, ORDER OF DISMISSAL
11	v.
12	ATTORNEY NIKKI CLARK, and COMMISSIONER THOMAS J. NIXON, et
13	al.,
14	Defendants.
15	/
16	On April 16, 2013, the Court granted Defendants' motions to dismiss and it concluded
17	that it lacked jurisdiction over this matter. The Court also found that even if it had jurisdiction,
18	Mr. Kavalan had failed to state a claim against the named defendants based on the principles of
19	quasi-judicial and judicial immunity. (Docket No. 32.) On April 25, 2013, Mr. Kavalan moved
20	to vacate the judgment, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 60(b)(1) and 60(b)(4) and
21	in the alternative, asked for leave to amend his complaint to name the Superior Court of the
22	State of California, Regina Thomas and Phillip Montes.
23	On April 30, 2013, the Court granted, in part, and denied, in part, that motion. The
24	Court denied the motion to vacate the judgment to the extent it asked to vacate the order
25	dismissing the claims against Commissioner Nixon and Ms. Clark. The Court did, however,
26	grant Mr. Kavalan leave to amend his complaint to name Ms. Thomas and Mr. Montes as

27 Defendants. (See Docket No. 43.)

28

United States District Court For the Northern District of California On May 2, 2013, Mr. Kavalan filed a second *ex parte* motion to vacate the Order entered on April 30, 2013, and he again asks the Court to revisit its decision to dismiss the claims against Commissioner Nixon and Ms. Clark, and asks for leave to amend his claims against those Defendants.

On May 8, 2013, the Court denied that ex parte application. In that same Order, the Court reiterated that if Mr. Kavalan wanted to file an amended complaint on the terms set forth in the Court's April 30, 2013 Order, he could do so by May 24, 2013. The Court advised Mr. Kavalan that if he did not file an amended complaint by that date, the Court would dismiss the case with prejudice and would enter judgment.

Mr. Kavalan did not file an amended complaint by May 24, 2013. Accordingly, for the
reasons set forth in its Order dated April 16, 2013, and in light of Mr. Kavalan's failure to file
an amended complaint, the Court HEREBY DISMISSES THIS MATTER WITH PREJUDICE.
The Court shall enter judgment, and the Clerk shall close the file.

Dated: June 3, 2013

**IT IS SO ORDERED.** 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE