

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 STEVEN ANGELL, and others,
12
13 Plaintiffs,
14 v.
15 CITY OF OAKLAND, and others,
16 Defendants.

Case No. 13-cv-00190 NC

**ORDER APPROVING REVISED
NOTICE**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 73, 73-2

17
18 The Court has reviewed plaintiffs' revised proposed notice, Dkt. No. 73-2, and finds
19 that the revised proposed notice has corrected the deficiencies identified in the Court's
20 January 5 order, Dkt. No. 72. Accordingly, the Court approves the revised form of notice
21 with the following two changes that must be made before disseminating the notice to the
22 class:

23 1. The sentence stating "The proposal, subject to the Court's approval, is for the eight
24 Class Representatives to receive \$9,000 for their service representing the Class." must be
25 revised to state "The proposal, subject to the Court's approval, is for each of the eight Class
26 Representatives to receive \$9,000 for their service representing the Class." *See* Dkt. No.
27 73-2 at 5.


28 2. The typo in the word "EXERCUSE" must be corrected. *See* Dkt. No. 73-2 at 2.

Case No. 13-cv-00190 NC
ORDER APPROVING REVISED
NOTICE

1 The date of the preliminary approval of the settlement will be deemed to be the date
2 of this order, January 8, 2015.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Date: January 8, 2015

5 
6 _____
7 Nathanael M. Cousins
8 United States Magistrate Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28