

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW

1 DANIEL S. MOUNT, ESQ. (CSB No. 77517)
dmount@mount.com
2 KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI (CSB No. 246985)
kpasquinelli@mount.com
3 MOUNT, SPELMAN & FINGERMAN, P.C.
RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650
4 333 West San Carlos Street
San Jose, CA 95110-2740
5 Telephone: 408.279.7000
Facsimile: 408.998.1474

6 DAVID R. BENNETT (*PRO HAC VICE*)
dbennett@directionip.com
7 DIRECTION IP LAW
P.O. BOX 14184
8 Chicago, IL 60614-0184
9 Telephone: 312.291.1667
Facsimile: 773.244.1070

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-
11 Defendant
GEOTAG, INC.

CHARLENE M. MORROW (CSB No. 136411)
cmorrow@fenwick.com
PATRICK E. PREMO (CSB No. 184915)
ppremo@fenwick.com
HECTOR J. RIBERA (CSB No. 221511)
hribera@fenwick.com
KATHLEEN LU (CSB No. 267032)
klu@fenwick.com
BRIAN E. LAHTI (CSB No. 278951)
blahti@fenwick.com
FENWICK & WEST LLP
Silicon Valley Center
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Telephone: 650.988.8500
Facsimile: 650.938.5200

Attorneys for Defendant and
Counterclaimant
ZOOSK, INC.

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 GEOTAG, INC.,
18 Plaintiff,
19 v.
20 ZOOSK, INC.,
21 Defendant.

Case No.: 13-cv-00217-EMC

**JOINT STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER FOR 30-DAY
EXTENSION OF REMAINING CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION DEADLINES**

Date Action Filed: September 13, 2011
Trial Date: None set

22 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.
23

24 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff GeoTag, Inc. (“GeoTag”) and Defendant Zoosk, Inc.
25 (“Zoosk”) (collectively, the “Parties”), stipulate as recited below and jointly request that the Court
26 amend the current Claim Construction Scheduling Order [Dkt. No. 133] as set forth below.

27 WHEREAS, the Court, by Order dated April 2, 2013 [Dkt. No. 133], issued a scheduling
28 order setting forth the case schedule through claim construction;

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
FOR 30-DAY EXTENSION OF REMAINING
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION DEADLINES

CASE NO.: 13-cv-00217-EMC

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

WHEREAS, the Court, by Order dated July 24, 2013 [Dkt. No. 146], amended that schedule by resetting the tutorial from October 16, 2013 to October 18, 2013;

WHEREAS, GeoTag’s Supplemental Infringement Contentions were served June 24, 2013;

WHEREAS, GeoTag on August 2, 2013 agreed to provide Zoosk amended supplemental infringement contentions;

WHEREAS, Zoosk has requested a thirty (30) day extension of all remaining claim construction deadlines to allow GeoTag time to amend its supplemental infringement contentions as discussed at the meet and confer between the parties on August 2, 2013, as well as provide Zoosk the necessary time to review the amended supplemental infringement contentions prior to claim construction;

WHEREAS, GeoTag does not object to the extension of thirty (30) days of all remaining claim construction deadlines;

THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE and jointly request that, in view of the foregoing, the case schedule shall be modified as set forth below:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

| Event | Current Date | Proposed Date |
|---|--------------|---|
| Parties to submit Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement | 8/19/2013 | 9/19/2013 |
| Opening claim construction briefing by GeoTag | 9/9/2013 | 10/10/2013 |
| Responsive claim construction briefing by Zoosk | 9/23/2013 | 10/24/2013 |
| Reply claim construction briefing by GeoTag | 9/30/2013 | 10/31/2013 |
| Tutorial | 10/18/2013 | Week of 11/18/2013 at 2:30pm |
| <i>Markman</i> Hearing and Further CMC | 10/29/2013 | Week of 11/29/2013 12/2/13 at 2:30 p.m. |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: August 14, 2013

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Brian E. Lahti

Brian E. Lahti
Attorneys for Defendants and
Counterclaimants
Zoosk, Inc.

Dated: August 14, 2013


MOUNT, SPELMAN & FINGERMAN, PC

By: /s/ Kevin Pasquinelli

Kevin Pasquinelli
Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim-
Defendants
GeoTag, Inc.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO
ORDERED.

The Honorable Edward M. Chen
United States District Judge



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories.

Dated: August 14, 2013

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Brian E. Lahti
Brian E. Lahti
Attorneys for Defendants and
Counterclaimants
Zoosk, Inc.

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW