

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW

1 DANIEL S. MOUNT, ESQ. (CSB No. 77517)
dmount@mount.com
2 KEVIN M. PASQUINELLI (CSB No. 246985)
kpasquinelli@mount.com
3 MOUNT, SPELMAN & FINGERMAN, P.C.
RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650
4 333 West San Carlos Street
San Jose, CA 95110-2740
5 Telephone: 408.279.7000
Facsimile: 408.998.1474

6 DAVID R. BENNETT (*PRO HAC VICE*)
dbennett@directionip.com
7 DIRECTION IP LAW
P.O. BOX 14184
8 Chicago, IL 60614-0184
9 Telephone: 312.291.1667
Facsimile: 773.244.1070

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-
11 Defendant
GEOTAG, INC.

CHARLENE M. MORROW (CSB No. 136411)
cmorrow@fenwick.com
PATRICK E. PREMO (CSB No. 184915)
ppremo@fenwick.com
HECTOR J. RIBERA (CSB No. 221511)
hribera@fenwick.com
KATHLEEN LU (CSB No. 267032)
klu@fenwick.com
BRIAN E. LAHTI (CSB No. 278951)
blahti@fenwick.com
FENWICK & WEST LLP
Silicon Valley Center
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Telephone: 650.988.8500
Facsimile: 650.938.5200

Attorneys for Defendant and
Counterclaimant
ZOOSK, INC.

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 GEOTAG, INC.,
18 Plaintiff,
19 v.
20 ZOOSK, INC.,
21 Defendant.

Case No.: 13-cv-00217-EMC

**JOINT STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER FOR
EXTENSION OF REMAINING CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION DEADLINES**

Date Action Filed: September 13, 2011
Trial Date: None set

22 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.
23

24 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff GeoTag, Inc. (“GeoTag”) and Defendant Zoosk, Inc.
25 (“Zoosk”) (collectively, the “Parties”), stipulate as recited below and jointly request that the Court
26 amend the current Claim Construction Scheduling Order [Dkt. No. 133] as set forth below.

27 WHEREAS, the Court, by Order dated April 2, 2013 [Dkt. No. 133], issued a scheduling
28 order setting forth the case schedule through claim construction;

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
FOR EXTENSION OF REMAINING CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION DEADLINES

CASE NO.: 13-cv-00217-EMC

1 WHEREAS, the Court, by Order dated July 24, 2013 [Dkt. No. 146], amended that
2 schedule by resetting the tutorial from October 16, 2013 to October 18, 2013;

3 WHEREAS, GeoTag's Supplemental Infringement Contentions were served June 24,
4 2013;

5 WHEREAS, the Parties met and conferred on August 2, 2013 and GeoTag agreed to
6 provide Zoosk amended supplemental infringement contentions;

7 WHEREAS, the Parties had previously filed a stipulation for a thirty (30) day extension of
8 all remaining claim construction deadlines [Dkt. No. 150] to allow GeoTag time to amend its
9 Supplemental Infringement Contentions as discussed at the meet-and-confer between the parties
10 on August 2, 2013, as well as, provide Zoosk the necessary time to review the amended
11 supplemental infringement contentions prior to claim construction;

12 WHEREAS, the Court, by Order dated August 15, 2013 [Dkt. No. 152], granted the
13 Parties' stipulation for a thirty (30) day extension of all remaining claim construction deadlines;

14 WHEREAS, GeoTag's Amended Supplemental Infringement Contentions were served
15 August 29, 2013, after review of a supplemental source code production from Zoosk relating to
16 the accused instrumentalities;

17 WHEREAS, the Parties met and conferred on September 24, 2013, and GeoTag agreed to
18 provide Zoosk revisions to its August 29, 2013 Amended Supplemental Infringement
19 Contentions;

20 WHEREAS, the Parties agree to the below extension of all remaining claim construction
21 deadlines to allow GeoTag time to revise its Amended Supplemental Infringement Contentions as
22 the Parties discussed at the September 24, 2013 meet-and-confer, as well as, provide Zoosk the
23 necessary time to review the revised Amended Supplemental Infringement Contentions prior to
24 claim construction;

25 WHEREAS, GeoTag is currently set to begin trial in the Eastern District of Texas on
26 December 2, 2013;

27 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE and jointly request that, in view of the foregoing,
28

1 the case schedule shall be modified as set forth below:
2

Event	Current Date	Proposed Date
Opening claim construction briefing by GeoTag	10/10/2013	12/23/2013
Responsive claim construction briefing by Zoosk	10/24/2013	1/6/2014
Reply claim construction briefing by GeoTag	10/31/2013	1/13/2014
Tutorial	11/18/2013	Week of 1/27/2014) (2:30p)
<i>Markman</i> Hearing and Further CMC	12/2/2013	Week of 2/10/2014) (2:30p)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: September 27, 2013

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Brian E. Lahti

Brian E. Lahti
Attorneys for Defendant and
Counterclaimant
Zoosk, Inc.

Dated: September 27, 2013


MOUNT, SPELMAN & FINGERMAN, PC

By: /s/ Kevin Pasquinelli

Kevin Pasquinelli
Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-
Defendant
GeoTag, Inc.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO
ORDERED.

The Honorable Edward M. Chen
United States District Judge



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories.

Dated: September 27, 2013

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Brian E. Lahti

Brian E. Lahti
Attorneys for Defendant and
Counterclaimant
Zoosk, Inc.

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW