

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW

1 DAVID R. BENNETT (*PRO HAC VICE*)
dbennett@directionip.com
2 DIRECTION IP LAW
P.O. BOX 14184
3 Chicago, IL 60614-0184
Telephone: 312.291.1667
4 Facsimile: 773.244.1070

5 JEFFREY A. TINKER
(*PRO HAC VICE PENDING*)
jtinker@winstead.com
Winstead PC
7 500 Winstead Building
2728 N. Harwood Street
8 Dallas, Texas 75201

9 JOSEPH A. GRECO (Cal. Bar No. 104476)
jgreco@beckllp.com
10 KIMBERLY P. ZAPATA (Cal. Bar No. 138291)
kzapata@beckllp.com
11 Beck, Bismonte & Finley, LLP
150 Almaden Boulevard, 10th Floor
12 San Jose, CA 95113
Telephone: 408.938.7900
13 Facsimile: 408.938.0790

14 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-
Defendant
15 GEOTAG, INC.

CHARLENE M. MORROW (CSB No. 136411)
cmorrow@fenwick.com
PATRICK E. PREMO (CSB No. 184915)
ppremo@fenwick.com
HECTOR J. RIBERA (CSB No. 221511)
hribera@fenwick.com
KATHLEEN LU (CSB No. 267032)
klu@fenwick.com
BRIAN E. LAHTI (CSB No. 278951)
blahti@fenwick.com
FENWICK & WEST LLP
Silicon Valley Center
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Telephone: 650.988.8500
Facsimile: 650.938.5200

Attorneys for Defendant and
Counterclaimant
ZOOSK, INC.

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
18 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
19

20 GEOTAG, INC.,
21 Plaintiff,

22 v.

23 ZOOSK, INC.,
24 Defendant.

Case No.: 13-cv-00217-EMC

**JOINT STIPULATION AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER FOR
EXTENSION OF REMAINING CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION DEADLINES**

Date Action Filed: September 13, 2011
Trial Date: None set

25
26 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.
27
28

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2 and Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiff GeoTag, Inc. (“GeoTag”) and Defendant Zoosk, Inc. (“Zoosk”) (collectively, the “Parties”), stipulate as recited below and jointly request that the Court amend the current Claim Construction Scheduling Order [Dkt. No. 133] as set forth below.

WHEREAS, the Court, by Order dated April 2, 2013 [Dkt. No. 133], issued a scheduling order setting forth the case schedule through claim construction;

WHEREAS, the Court, modified that schedule by orders dated July 24, 2013 [Dkt. No. 146], August 15, 2013 [Dkt. No. 152] and October 2, 2013 [Dkt. No. 164];

WHEREAS, the October 2, 2013 modification reset GeoTag’s opening claim construction briefing to December 23, 2013, Zoosk’s responsive claim construction briefing to January 6, 2014, and the *Markman* hearing to February 10, 2014;

WHEREAS, GeoTag’s trial in the case titled *GeoTag, Inc. v. Frontier Communc’s Corp. et al.*, Case No. 2:10-cv-00265-JRG (E.D. Tex. filed July 23, 2010) (Gilstrap, J.) has been reset from December 2, 2013 to March 10, 2014;

WHEREAS, GeoTag is set to begin trial in the case titled *Microsoft Corp. et al. v. GeoTag, Inc.*, Case No. 11-cv-00175-RGA (D. Del. filed March 1, 2011) (Andrews, J.) on April 21, 2014;

WHEREAS, new counsel of record for GeoTag entered appearances on November 13, 2013 [Dkt. Nos. 167 and 168] and on December 10, 2103 [Dkt. No. 169];

WHEREAS, the Parties agree to the below extension of all remaining claim construction deadlines to allow new counsel for GeoTag the necessary time to come up to speed to this action, as well as, to allow the Court the benefit of the rulings from GeoTag’s trial in the Eastern District of Texas;

THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE and jointly request that, in view of the foregoing, the case schedule shall be modified as set forth below:

| Event | Current Date | Proposed Date |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| Opening claim construction briefing by GeoTag | 12/23/2013 | 2/24/2014 |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

| | | |
|---|-----------|--|
| Responsive claim construction briefing by Zoosk | 1/6/2014 | 3/10/2014 |
| Reply claim construction briefing by GeoTag | 1/13/2014 | 3/17/2014 |
| Tutorial | 1/27/2014 | Week of 4/14/2014 2:30 pm |
| <i>Markman</i> Hearing and Further CMC | 2/10/2014 | Week of 4/21/2014 4/28/14 2:30pm |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: December 13, 2013

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Brian E. Lahti

Brian E. Lahti
Attorneys for Defendant and
Counterclaimant
Zoosk, Inc.


BECK, BISMONTE & FINLEY, LLP

Dated: December 13, 2013

By: /s/ Kimberly P. Zapata

Kimberly P. Zapata
Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim-
Defendant
GeoTag, Inc.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO
ORDERED. (as modified)



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories.

Dated: December 13, 2013

FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Brian E. Lahti
Brian E. Lahti
Attorneys for Defendant and
Counterclaimant
Zoosk, Inc.

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW