1

2

3

4

5

6

7

23

24

25

26

27

28

## 8 VANCAMP SEATA VAI, et al., 9 Plaintiffs, 10 v. United States District Court 11 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al., For the Northern District of California 12 Defendants. 13 14 15 16 Fargo and Golden West (more specifically, after Plaintiffs filed a statement of nonopposition to their 17 motion for summary judgment). In the same order, the Court ordered Plaintiffs to show cause as to 18 why their claims against Cal-Western, the only remaining defendant, should not be dismissed, either 19 with or without prejudice. See Docket No. 59 (order). 20 21 Western without prejudice. Cal-Western did not timely file a reply to Plaintiffs' brief. Accordingly, 22 the Court hereby **DISMISSES** Cal-Western from the case without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 19, 2014

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA No. C-13-0294 EMC ORDER DISMISSING CAL-WESTERN (Docket Nos. 59-60) Previously, the Court dismissed with prejudice Plaintiffs' claims against Defendants Wells In response, Plaintiffs filed a brief, stating that they do not oppose the dismissal of Cal-The Court instructs the Clerk of the Court to enter a final judgment in accordance with this

RD M. CHEN

United States District Judge

order and its order of February 4, 2014, see Docket No. 59 (order), and close the file in this case.