

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 ASETEK DANMARK A/S,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 CMI USA, INC.,

8 Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-00457-JST

**ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANTS'  
OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED FORM  
OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

Re: ECF No. 313


9  
10 The Court has received Defendant CMI USA, Inc.'s Objections to Asetek Danmark A/S's  
11 Proposed Form of Permanent Injunction. ECF No. 313.

12 Plaintiff Asetek Danmark A/S ("Asetek") filed a motion for entry of a permanent  
13 injunction, which also included a suggested form of the injunction. See ECF No. 266. CMI's  
14 opposition addressed the merits of the motion but did not discuss objections to the form of  
15 Asetek's proposed injunction. See ECF No. 287. At the hearing, CMI asked the Court for an  
16 opportunity to provide objections as to the form. ECF No. 316, Tr. 39:1-9. After noting that CMI  
17 could have addressed this issue in its briefing, the Court instructed CMI to submit a request to file  
18 an objection that included information as to the suggested form of the request, the proposed  
19 timeline, and the number of pages required. Id. 39:10-24. Rather than file a request to object,  
20 CMI instead filed its objections. ECF No. 313.

21 The Court will consider CMI's objections. The Court, however, admonishes the parties  
22 not to violate future Court orders. Asetek is ordered to file its response to CMI's objections, if  
23 any, by August 25, 2015. No reply by CMI will be permitted.

24 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

25 Dated: August 18, 2015

26  
27   
28 JON S. TIGAR  
United States District Judge