1	COOLEY LLP	FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
2	REUBEN H. CHEN (SBN 228725)	GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
	rchen@cooley.com	ROBERT F. MCCAULEY (SBN 162056)
3	KYLE D. CHEN (SBN 239501) kyle.chen@cooley.com	robert.mccauley@finnegan.com
4	3175 Hanover Street	ERIK R. PUKNYS (SBN 190926)
5	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Telephone: (650) 843-5000	erik.puknys@finnegan.com JEFFREY D. SMYTH (SBN 280665)
	Facsimile: (650) 849-7400	jeffrey.smyth@finnegan.com
6	Attamaya far Dafandant	3300 Hillview Avenue
7	Attorneys for Defendant CMI USA, Inc.	Palo Alto, California 94304
,	Civil Obri, inc.	Tel: (650) 849-6600
8		Fax: (650) 849-6666
9		ARPITA BHATTACHARYYA
10		(pro hac vice)
10		arpita.bhattacharyya@finnegan.com
11		Two Seaport Lane, 6th Floor
10		Boston, MA 02210-2001
12		Telephone: (617) 646-1600
13		Facsimile: (617) 646-1666
1.4		Attorneys for Plaintiff
14		ASETEK DANMARK A/S
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	SAN FRAN	ICISCO DIVISION
18	S/HVTR/H	Velbeo bi vibioiv
19		
20	ASETEK DANMARK A/S,	Case No. 3:13-cv-00457 JST
21	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION TO APPROVE
22	V.	BOND AND TO STAY EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT THROUGH APPEAL
		PURSUANT TO FRCP 62; [PROPOSED] ORDER
23	CMI USA., INC. fka COOLER MASTER USA, INC.,	Complaint Filed: January 31, 2013
24	Defendant.	Trial Date: December 2, 2014
25	Defendant.	Judge: Jon S. Tigar
26		
27		
28		
		JOINT STIPULATION AND
	Case No. 3:13-cv-00457 JST	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO APPROVE BOND AND TO STAY JUDGMENT
	I	DOIND AND TO STAT JUDGMENT

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

Plaintiff Asetek Danmark A/S ("Asetek") and Defendant CMI USA, Inc. ("CMI")					
(collectively the "Parties") respectfully submit this joint stipulation pursuant to Federal Rule of					
Civil Procedure 62(d) ("Rule 62(d)") for entry of an order by this Court: (1) approving the					
supersedeas bond in the amount of \$607,500.00 submitted herewith in combination with a					
supplemental cash deposit in the amount of \$37,000 as the "supersedeas bond" provided in Rule					
62(d), and (2) staying execution of the Court's "Revised Judgment" entered on June 2, 2015 (Dkt.					
No. 261) and the amended judgment to be entered pursuant to the Court's September 22, 2015					
Order Denying Defendant's Post-Trial Motions; Granting in Part and Denying in Part Plaintiff's					
Post-Trial Motions (Dkt. No. 322, hereinafter the "Order") (collectively the "Judgment"), pending					
CMI's appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Said bond in the					
amount of \$607,500.00 is attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Kyle Chen in Support of the					
Parties' Stipulation. The parties have met and conferred and stipulate that the cash deposit of					
\$37,000 will be submitted to the Court to hold in escrow pending the appeal. CMI will submit a					
cashier's check or equivalent form of payment to the Court within ten (10) days of the Court's					
expected order approving this joint stipulation. As directed on the Court's website, the check will					
be made payable to "Clerk, U.S. District Court," will include reference to the case number, and					
will be delivered or mailed to "U.S. District Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue., San Francisco, CA					
94102," along with a copy of the expected order approving this stipulation.					
CMI filed a Notice of Appeal on September 30, 2015 (Dkt. No. 323) within the time limit					

cMI filed a Notice of Appeal on September 30, 2015 (Dkt. No. 323) within the time limit prescribed in Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4 after the Order. Rule 62(d) states: "[i]f an appeal is taken, the appellant may obtain a stay by supersedeas bond" and "[t]he stay takes effect when the court approves the bond." The Parties hereby stipulate that the bond in the amount of \$607,500.00 in combination with the supplemental cash deposit of \$37,000 to be deposited with the Court (collectively the "Plaintiff's Security") satisfies and has the same legal effect as the

25

26

27

¹ Rule 62(d) provides that enforcement of a judgment may be stayed as a matter of right pending appeal, provided a supersedeas bond is posted. Fed. R. Civ. P. 62(d); *Fed. Prescription Serv.*, *Inc. v. Am. Pharm. Ass'n*, 636 F.2d 755, 760-61 (D.C. Cir. 1980); 12-62 *Moore's Federal Practice – Civil* § 62.03 (2015).

1	"supersedeas bond" requirement under Rule 62(d). The Parties thus respectfully request that this		
2	Court approve the Plaintiff's Security as the "supersedeas bond" under Rule 62(d) and stay		
3	execution of the Judgment pending CMI's appeal. Asetek shall not be permitted to collect on the		
4	Plaintiff's Security absent further order from this Court, and the Plaintiff's Security will remain in		
5	place until released by order of this Court. IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
6	By his signature below, counsel for CMI attests that counsel for Asetek concurs in the		
7	filing of this document.		
8			
9	Dated: October 20, 2015	Respectfully submitted,	
10		COOLEY LLP REUBEN H. CHEN	
11		KYLE D. CHEN	
12		BY: /s/ Kyle D. Chen	
13		BY: /s/ Kyle D. Chen Kyle D. Chen (SBN 239501)	
14		Attorneys for Defendant CMI USA, INC.	
15		,	
16		FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP	
17			
18		BY: /s/Robert F. McCauley Robert F. McCauley (SBN 162056)	
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff ASETEK	
20		DANMARK A/S	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

[PROPOSED] ORDER Pursuant to the Parties' joint stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: October 21 , 2015 United States District Judge Northern District of California