

1 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
 James E. Brandt (*pro hac vice*)
 2 James K. Lynch (Bar No. 178600)
 Andrew M. Farthing (Bar No. 237565)
 3 Yasamin Parsafar (Bar No. 287617)
 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
 4 San Francisco, California 94111-6538
 Telephone: +1.415.391.0600
 5 Facsimile: +1.415.395.8095

6 Attorneys for Nominal Defendant
 PG&E Corporation
 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

IRON WORKERS MID-SOUTH PENSION
 FUND, Derivatively on Behalf of PG&E
 CORPORATION.

Plaintiff,

v.

CHRISTOPHER P. JOHNS, KENT M.
 HARVEY, DINYAR B. MISTRY, C. LEE
 COX, BARRY LAWSON WILLIAMS,
 DAVID R. ANDREWS, BARBARA L.
 RAMBO, MARYELLEN C. HERRINGER,
 RICHARD A. MESERVE, ROGER H.
 KIMMEL, LEWIS CHEW, PETER A.
 DARBEE, and DAVID M. LAWRENCE,

Defendants,

and

PG&E CORPORATION, a California
 corporation,

Nominal Defendant.

_____ /

Case No. C 13-0550

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER
 ACTION OR PROCEEDING (CIVIL L.R. 3-
 13); STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME TO
 RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

1 WHEREAS this stockholder derivative action, putatively on behalf of Nominal
2 Defendant PG&E Corporation (“PG&E”), was filed in this Court on February 7, 2013;

3 WHEREAS another stockholder derivative action putatively on behalf of PG&E
4 captioned *Wollman v. Andrews*, CIV 499832, was filed in the San Mateo Superior Court on
5 October 18, 2010 (the “*Wollman Action*”);¹

6 WHEREAS both this action and the *Wollman* action involve the same Nominal
7 Defendant, many of the same individual defendants, and address the events leading to the gas
8 pipeline explosion in San Bruno, California on September 9, 2010;

9 WHEREAS not all defendants have yet been served with process in this action, but those
10 defendants that have not yet been served desire to waive service of process and all parties desire
11 to establish a uniform date by which all defendants must respond to the Complaint;

12 WHEREAS the parties are meeting and conferring regarding a variety of issues affecting
13 the case, including those relating to the *Wollman Action*; and

14 WHEREAS depending on those discussions, the parties may agree to further extend the
15 deadline by which all defendants must respond to the Complaint;

16 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT:

- 17 1. All defendants are deemed served with the Complaint as of the date hereof.
18 2. Defendants’ deadline to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint
19 shall be April 15, 2013.

20
21 Dated: February 27, 2013

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

22
23 By /s/ James K. Lynch
24 James K. Lynch
25 Attorneys for Nominal Defendant
26 PG&E Corporation

27
28 ¹ The *Wollman Action* has since been consolidated into the Judicial Council Coordinated Proceeding No. 4648 captioned *PG&E San Bruno Fire Cases*.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP
Eric S. Waxman (Bar No. 106649)
300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 687-5000

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER &
FLOM LLP
Amy S. Park (Bar No. 208204)
525 University Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94301
(650) 470-4511

By /s/ Amy S. Park
Amy S. Park
Attorneys for the Individual Defendants

ROBBINS ARROYO LLP
Brian J. Robbins (Bar No. 190264)
George C. Aguilar (Bar No. 126535)
Lauren N. Ochendusko (Bar No. 274227)
600 B Street, Suite 1900
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 525-3990

By /s/ George C. Aguilar
George C. Aguilar
Attorneys for Plaintiff

In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) of this Court, I, James K. Lynch, attest to the fact that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories which shall serve in lieu of their signatures on the document.

