## (ADDITIONAL ATTORNEYS) **FOR PLAINTIFF** ROBIN L. GOLDFADEN (SBN 208055) LAWYERS' COMMITTEE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA 131 Steuart Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: 415.543.9444 ext. 201 Facsimile: 415.543.0296 rgoldfaden@lccr.com DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP

JOINT BRIEFING SCHEDULE Case No. C 13-00591 LB 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Pursuant to this Court's April 18, 2013 Civil Minute Order<sup>1</sup>, the parties hereby submit the following Joint Briefing Schedule for Plaintiff's anticipated Motion for Preliminary Injunction and Selection of Magistrate Judge For A Settlement Conference: Jointly Proposed Briefing Schedule Hearing Date: July 3, 2013 Motion Filed: May 29, 2013 Opposition: June 12, 2013 GRANTED Reply: June 19, 2013 2. Selection of Magistrate Judge for A Settlement Judge Laurel Beeler The parties select Magistrate Judge Laporte. DATED this 26th day of April, 2013. DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP **MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney** 

/s/ Abraham Simmons
ABRAHAM A. SIMMONS
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Attorneys for Federal Defendants

\_/s/ Thomas R. Burke THOMAS R. BURKE Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Plaintiff JEFFERY MARTINS

respectfully requests leave to seek an earlier hearing date (and expedited briefing schedule) if this becomes necessary. Defendant prefers the joint briefing schedule outlined above.

28

DWT 21807545v1 0200092-000002

At the Case Management Conference on A[pril 18, 2013, counsel for Plaintiff represented that if a motion for preliminary injunction were needed, it would be filed by May 15, 2013. Since the conference, counsel for the Defendants has advised that his clients are still determining whether or not all or some portion of the requested Asylum Officer notes will be released to plaintiff without a court order requiring their production. If all of the notes are promptly released by the Defendants, Plaintiff will not file (or will withdraw) his motion for preliminary injunction. Counsel for Plaintiff selected the July 3, 2013, hearing date set forth in the text because it appears to be the only date that is currently available for argument on the Court's calendar without setting

the motion (and briefing schedule) on an expedited basis. If a motion is required, however, counsel for Plaintiff would prefer that the Court hold a hearing *before* July 3, as one of the Asylum Officer notes at issue is needed for a July 1 immigration hearing. Accordingly, Plaintiff