Harrison v. Frietas et al

1 *E-Filed 7/1/13* 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 8 9 GREGORY C. HARRISON, No. C 13-0667 RS (PR) 10 Plaintiff, ORDER OF DISMISSAL 11 v. 12 STEVE FREITAS, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 Plaintiff has failed to comply with the Court's order to file an amended complaint. 16 Accordingly, the action is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to comply with the 17 Court's order, and under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute. 18 Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (Docket No. 7) is DENIED. 19 Because this dismissal is without prejudice, plaintiff may move to reopen the action. 20 Any motion to reopen must contain an amended complaint that addresses the concerns raised 21 in the order dismissing the complaint with leave to amend. The Clerk shall terminate Docket 22 No. 7, enter judgment in favor of defendants, and close the file. 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 DATED: July 1, 2013 25 United States District Judge 26 27 28

No. C 13-0667 RS (PR) ORDER OF DISMISSAL