- violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civil Code § 1788 et seq. Plaintiff's Complaint also seeks declaratory and injunctive relief under Cal. Financial Code § 22000 et seq., and actual damages and punitive damages for alleged malicious prosecution.
 - On May 2, 2013, Plaintiff's counsel filed and served via the Court's CM/ECF 2.

- 1 -

27

28

STIPULATION TO AMEND BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE Case No. 3:13-00707-CRB 31744630v1_383771-00001

Doc. 28

a.m.

system, a Notice of Unavailability of Counsel (Doc. 14) in which Plaintiff's counsel informed the Court and Defendants that he would be unavailable between June 1, 2013, and June 18, 2013, inclusive.

- 3. On May 7, 2013, the Court entered an Order continuing the Case Management Conference in this case to August 9, 2013, at 8:30 a.m. (Doc. 16)
 - 4. On May 15, 2013, <u>Defendants' Answer to Complaint</u> (Doc. 18) was filed.
- 5. On June 5, 2013, Plaintiff filed her Motion to Strike Affirmative Defenses (Doc. 19).
- 6. On June 7, 2013, Defendants filed their <u>Special Motion to Strike (Anti-SLAPP)</u> (Doc. 20).
- 7. Plaintiff's <u>Motion to Strike Affirmative Defenses</u> and Defendants' <u>Special</u>

 <u>Motion to Strike</u> are currently set for hearing on July 19, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. before the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.
- 8. Plaintiff's counsel promptly solicited from Defendants' counsel a stipulation to continue the hearing date on <u>Defendants' Special Motion to Strike</u>, and the parties thereafter agreed to continue the hearings on both motions, subject to this Court's approval.
- 9. The parties request that the Court enter an order modifying the briefing and hearing schedule for Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Affirmative Defenses and Defendants' Special Motion to Strike as follows:
 - a. For both motions, opposition briefs shall be filed on or before July 12, 2013.
 - b. For both motions, reply briefs shall be filed on or before July 26, 2013.
 - c. For both motions, the hearings shall be continued to August 9, 2013, at 10:00
 - 10. The briefing schedule has not been previously modified.

Case3:13-cv-00707-CRB Document27 Filed06/12/13 Page3 of 3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

To the extent the timing requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure 11. section 425.15(f) apply in this Court, if at all, the parties agree that this requested continuance does not and shall not violate those timing requirements. IT IS SO STIPULATED. 00000 CONSUMER LAW CENTER, INC. By: /s/ Fred W. Schwinn Dated: <u>June 12, 2013</u> Fred W. Schwinn, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff TEENA MARIE LE KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN, LLP Dated: June 12, 2013 Gregory S. Korman, Esq. Attorney for Defendants SUNLAN CORPORATION, SUNLAN-062804, LLC, LEE JOSEPH ROSS, LAW OFFICE OF KENOSIAN & MIELE, LLP, and KENNETH JOHN MIELE PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED IT IS SO ORDERED Dated: _June 17, 2013 ____ Judge Charles R. Breyer