

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 CHAUNCEY CASTODIO,

No. C 13-713 SI (pr)

8 Petitioner,

**ORDER DENYING REQUESTS FOR
RECONSIDERATION AND COA**

9 v.

10 RANDY GROUNDS, warden,

11 Respondent.
12 _____/

13 Petitioner filed this *pro se* action for a writ of habeas corpus challenging a prison
14 disciplinary decision that resulted in a time credit forfeiture. The court denied the petition in an
15 order filed on July 21, 2013. Petitioner's request for reconsideration of that order is DENIED.
16 (Docket # 13.) He contends that the court improperly relied on 15 Cal. Code Regs. § 3288, but
17 fails to note that § 3288 was neither cited to, nor relied upon, in the order.

18 Castodio's request for a certificate of appealability is DENIED. (Docket # 13.) This is
19 not a case in which "reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the
20 constitutional claims debatable or wrong" in the order denying the petition, or one in which
21 "jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural
22 [rulings]" in this order. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).
23 The denial of the certificate of appealability is without prejudice to petitioner seeking a
24 certificate from the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: November 20, 2013



27 SUSAN ILLSTON
28 United States District Judge