

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME L. GRIMES,)	Nos. C 13-0718 JSW (PR)
Plaintiff,)	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
v.)	
SCOTT NIXON, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

Plaintiff, an inmate in the Napa State Hospital and frequent litigator in this Court, has recently filed this pro se civil rights case. On May 18, 2000, this Court informed Plaintiff that under the "three-strikes" provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) he generally is ineligible to proceed *in forma pauperis* in federal court with civil actions filed while he is incarcerated. *See Grimes v. Oakland Police Dept.*, C 00-1100 CW (Order Dismissing Complaint, 5/18/00). Since then, Plaintiff has continued to file hundreds of civil rights actions seeking *in forma pauperis* status. With respect to each action filed, the Court conducts a preliminary review to assess the nature of the allegations and to determine whether Plaintiff alleges facts which bring him within the "imminent danger of serious physical injury" exception to § 1915(g). In the past, Plaintiff has routinely been granted leave to amend to pay the full filing fee and to state cognizable claims for relief, but he has habitually failed to do so. For example, in 2003 alone Plaintiff's failure to comply resulted in the dismissal of approximately thirty-six actions under § 1915(g).

In accord with this ongoing practice, the Court has reviewed the allegations in the

1 present action and finds that Plaintiff alleges no facts which bring him within the
2 "imminent danger" clause. The complaint makes a series of nonsensical or inherently
3 impossible allegations, including "invasion of privacy with terror intent," illegal
4 psychological medical upcoding," and "conspiracy to pretext civil litigation as a lure of
5 in pro se plaintiff into Northern District of California runs for agenda to attempt to
6 murder Rouse to induce court appearances in imminent dangerous terrain." As has been
7 explained to Plaintiff countless times, such claims are barred. Therefore, it would be
8 futile to grant Plaintiff leave to amend. And even if Plaintiff did amend, he would be
9 required to pay the \$350.00 filing fee, which he has never done.

10 Accordingly, this case is DISMISSED without prejudice under § 1915(g). The
11 application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is DENIED. No fee is due. If Plaintiff is so
12 inclined, he may bring his claims in a new action accompanied by the \$350.00 filing fee.
13 In any event, the Court will continue to review under § 1915(g) all future actions filed by
14 Plaintiff while he is incarcerated in which he seeks *in forma pauperis* status.

15 The Clerk of the Court shall close the files and terminate all pending motions in
16 the cases listed in the caption of this order.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 DATED: March 1, 2013

19 
20 _____
21 JEFFREY S. WHITE
22 United States District Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4

5 JEROME L GRIMES,
6
7 Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV13-00718 JSW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

8 v.

9 SCOTT NIXON et al,
10 Defendant.

11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
12 Court, Northern District of California.

13 That on March 1, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
14 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing
15 said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery
16 receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

17 Jerome L. Grimes
18 Napa State Hospital
19 #206586-0/ Unit Q-1 & 2
20 2100 Napa Vallejo Highway
21 Napa, CA 94558

22 Dated: March 1, 2013



23 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
24 By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk
25
26
27
28