

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

FRANK STERLING,
Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF ANTIOCH, et al.,
Defendants.

Case Number: 3:12-cv-00812 NC

**ORDER REGARDING REPLY
BRIEF**

Dkt. No. 71

15
16
17
18
19
20

The Court notes that the defendants' reply brief, docket entry 71, appears to be a duplicate of the amended motion at docket entry 67. The Court wonders if this was intentional. If defendants seek to file a reply that responds to the issues raised in plaintiffs' opposition, they must do so by midnight tonight. Defendants' motion to bifurcate remains on calendar for September 10.

21
22

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 9, 2014

23
24
25
26
27
28


NATHANAEL M. COUSINS
United States Magistrate Judge