

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HECTOR SARINANA,

No. C-13-0905 EMC

Plaintiff,

Related Case:  
No. C-14-2996 EMC

v.

DS WATERS OF AMERICA, INC.,

Defendant.

**ORDER MODIFYING SEPTEMBER 5,  
2014 TEMPORARY RESTRAINING  
ORDER**

ARJAY HALEY, etc.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DS WATERS OF AMERICA, INC., et al.,

Defendants.


On September 5, 2014, this Court issued a temporary restraining order ordering members of The Turley Law Firm to refrain from initiating or having discussions with members of the conditional settlement class in this action. In response, Mr. David Mara of the The Turley Law Firm contacted the Court and indicated that there were three individuals – Parker Davis (named plaintiff in *Davis v. DS Waters of America, Inc.*, No. 14-cv-0250), Terry Dupree, and Richard Schleif – who had initiated contact with and eventually retained The Turley Law Firm prior to the commencement solicitation efforts by The Turley Law Firm. Following a conference call with the parties and Mr. Mara, Mr. Mara was directed to submit a sworn declaration and to fax the relevant communications with Mr. Dupree and Mr. Schleif to the Court for *in camera* review.

1 Based on the Court’s review of the *in camera* documents, and in reliance of the sworn  
2 representations by Mr. Mara in his declaration, the Court finds that The Turley Law Firm established  
3 an attorney-client relationship with Mr. Dupree and Mr. Schleif prior to the solicitation efforts which  
4 gave rise to the Court’s temporary restraining order. The Class Notice expressly recognized that the  
5 class members could retain their own attorney – specifically in regards to objecting to the settlement.  
6 *See* Dkt. No. 80, at 37 (“You may object to the proposed settlement in writing. You may also appear  
7 at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through your own attorney. If you appear through  
8 your own attorney you are responsible for paying that attorney.”). Further, Federal Rule of Civil  
9 Procedure 23(c)(2)(B)(iv) recognizes that a “class member may enter an appearance through an  
10 attorney if the member so desires.”

11 The Court will not restrict The Turley Law Firm’s ability to communicate with clients it  
12 retained prior to the conduct in question. Accordingly, this Court’s temporary restraining order is  
13 hereby **MODIFIED** to permit The Turley Law Firm to communicate with Mr. Davis, Mr. Dupree,  
14 and Mr. Schleif. The propriety of the remainder of the temporary restraining order will be addressed  
15 by the Court at the hearing scheduled for Wednesday, September 10, 2014.

16  
17 IT IS SO ORDERED

18  
19 Dated: September 5, 2014

20  
21   
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
EDWARD M. CHEN  
United States District Judge