

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CAROLINA SUGIHARTO,

CASE NO. C 13-00921 MMC

Plaintiff,

v.

STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

SYNARC, INC, et al.,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)  
 Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  
 Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**

- Private ADR (please identify process and provider) \_\_\_\_\_

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)  
 other requested deadline within 180 days.

Dated: May 21, 2013

/S/ Hunter Pyle  
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: May 21, 2013

/S/ Chantelle Egan  
Attorney for Defendant

CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PAGE

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

- The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
- The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED:  
The deadline to hold the ADR session is October 1, 2013.

Dated: May 31, 2013

  
UNITED STATES JUDGE