

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States District Court
Northern District of California

DAWNEEN PARDINI CAOVILOLA;
DAVID CAOVILOLA,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 13-cv-1003 JSC

**ORDER DISMISSING ACTION FOR
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE**

On July 19, 2013, the Court Ordered Plaintiffs to show cause as to why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute based on their failure to respond to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. (Dkt. No. 24.) Plaintiffs were ordered to file a response by July 29, 2013 and were warned that failure to do so could result in the dismissal of this action with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). To date, Plaintiffs have not responded to the Court's Order.

Accordingly, the hearing scheduled for August 8, 2013 on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint is hereby VACATED and this action is DISMISSED for failure to prosecute pursuant to Rule 41(b).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 2, 2013



JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE