l				
1	THOMAS R. PARKER, County Counsel SBN 114835			
2	County of Mendocino - Administration Center 501 Low Gap Road, Room 1030 Ukiah, CA 95482			
3				
4				
5	Facsimile: (707) 463-4592			
6	Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF MENDOCINO			
7				
8	Jack Silver, Esq. SB# 160575 Law Office of Jack Silver			
9				
10		*		
11	11   Fax: (707) 542-7139			
12				
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH			
14	14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
17	17 CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH, a Case No.: 3:13-cv-01087 CRB			
18	18 501(c)(3),non-profit, public benefit			
19	19 ORDER	[PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER		
20	Plaintiff,  v. COMPLAINT FILED: Marc	h 8, 2013		
21	21 COUNTY OF MENDOCINO, Honorable Charles R. Breyer U.S. District Court Judge			
22	Defendants.			
23	23			
24	24 //			
25	25 //			
26	26			
27	C 13 1007 CKB			
28	[Proposed] Consent Decree and Order			

## RECITALS

- A. Defendant County of Mendocino ("County") is a municipal discharger under CWA § 402(p) and as such must operate under a municipal stormwater permit CWA § 402(p)(3)(B), 33 U.S.C. §1342(p)(3)(B). The County's operations are located within the watershed basin of the Russian and Noyo Rivers, waters of the United States.
- B. Plaintiff California River Watch ("River Watch") has filed this action pursuant to Clean Water Act 33, U.S.C. §1251 et. seq., specifically 33 U.S.C. §1311, 33 U.S.C. §1342, AND 33 U.S.C. 1365, alleging that the County has violated and is continuing to violate the NPDES Permit in various respects.
- C. River Watch and the County ("the "Parties") have consented to the entry of this Consent Decree and Order without trial of any issues, and hereby stipulate that, in order to settle the claims alleged against the County in River Watch's complaint, and to avoid the delays and expenses of litigation, this Consent Decree should be entered. This Consent Decree constitutes a settlement of disputed claims. It is not an admission of jurisdiction or the validity of River Watch's allegations. Should this proposed Consent Decree fail to be entered for any reason, this proposed Consent Decree, and any statement or other provision contained in this proposed Consent Decree shall have no legal effect and shall not be used for any purpose in any subsequent proceeding in this or any other litigation.

#### **CONSENT DECREE**

The Court having considered the representations of the Parties, and after opportunity for comment by the United States pursuant to 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(3) and 40 C.F.R. §135.5(a) having determined that entry of this Decree is in the public interest, NOW THEREFORE, before taking any testimony, and without any adjudication of any fact or law, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED as follows:

25 //

//

CV 13-1087 CRB [Proposed] Consent Decree and Order

### I. JURISDICTION

- 1. The Parties agree that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the Parties in this action pursuant to Section 505 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365.
- 2. Venue is proper in this Northern District of California pursuant to Section 505(c)(1) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(1), because this is the judicial district in which the County is located.

## II. APPLICABILITY AND BINDING EFFECT

3. This Consent Decree shall apply to and be binding upon River Watch and its members, and the County, and its elected officials, and both of their officers, employees, contractors, subcontractors, consultants, agents, assigns and volunteers and each and every one of them acting under their direction and/or control. To the extent that federal law (including federal principles of res judicata) allows, this Consent Decree shall also be found binding upon other private parties who may hereafter file a citizen suit against the County for alleged violations of the Clean Water Act that have been alleged in this action. However, This Consent Decree shall not be construed to limit the authority of the United States under Section 309 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319, or of the RWQCB under California law.

# III. AGREED TERMS OF COUNTY'S COMPLIANCE WITH ITS STORM WATER PERMIT

- 4. The County agrees to complete 70 percent of data collection necessary to complete a map as required under the second NPDES Phase II permit (issued 2013) showing the outfall locations for all storm water and U.S. waters that receive discharge from these outfalls by July 31, 2014 and to complete the mapping no later than December 2015.
- 5. The County will begin dry weather sampling of the five points where the County road crosses the water of the Russian River, (which would be ten tests, one on each side of the river at each location), no later than June 30, 2014. The County will complete this initial dry weather sampling regime no later than July 31, 2014. After that, the County will

CV 13-1087 CRB [Proposed] Consent Decree and Order

- conduct dry weather sampling as required by the second NPDES Phase II storm water permit.
- 6. The sampling shall consist of testing for PH and turbidity until July 31, 2015 when sampling will be done in accordance with the second NPDES Phase II permit (issued 2013).
- 7. The County will develop and implement procedures for construction site plan review by no later than three (3) months from the Effective Date of this Agreement, using the currently adopted SUSMP. The County will submit the procedures to River Watch concurrently with submitting the procedures to the RWQCB as part of a progress report or in any other format. The County is not required to modify or change its proposed procedures based on River Watch's comments/suggestions.
- 8. The County will develop and implement procedures for construction site inspections and enforcement of control measures no later than June 30, 2014. The County will also ensure that relevant staff has received appropriate training. The County will submit the procedures to River Watch concurrently with submitting the procedures to the RWQCB as part of a progress report or in any other format. The County is not required to modify or change its proposed procedures based on River Watch's comments/suggestions.
- 9. The County will implement policies and procedures for maintenance of the County General Services Corporation Yard and the County Transportation Yards by no later than June 30, 2014. The County will submit the procedures to River Watch concurrently with submitting the procedures to the RWQCB as part of a progress report or in any other format. The County is not required to modify or change its proposed procedures based on River Watch's comments/suggestions. The County will also develop a Facility Pollution Prevention Program for the General Services Corporation Yard and Department of Transportation Yard no later than June 30, 2017.
- 10. The County will develop, implement and enforce a program to control storm water runoff

from new development and redevelopment by a date agreed upon between the County and the Regional Water Quality Control Board, using the SUSMP standards currently adopted by the County and/or language from the County's Stormwater Ordinance where applicable. The County will submit the procedures to River Watch concurrently with submitting the procedures to the RWQCB as part of a progress report or in any other format. The County is not required to modify or change its proposed procedures based on River Watch's comments/suggestions.

- 11. The County agrees that beginning in July 2015, it will analyze the 2011 SUSMP adopted by Santa Rosa and other municipalities with a view toward revising the County's current SUSMP standards. By no later than October 1, 2015 the County will submit a proposed revised SUSMP to River Watch. The County is not required to modify or change its proposed procedures based on River Watch's comments/suggestions.
- 12. The County will then draft and submit the revised SUSMP to the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board for review and comment. The County will then submit the proposed changes to the County's Board of Supervisors through its legislative process for the Board's and the public's review, and possible adoption of the proposed changes by the Board. County staff shall recommend in good faith that the Board of Supervisors adopt the proposed revisions based on the review and comments of the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board. The above process for reviewing and submitting proposed changes to the SUSMP shall be completed no later than January 31, 2016.
- 13. Separate from, and in addition to any other limitations on the County's obligations under this Consent Decree, the County's obligation to comply with one or more of the provisions of this Consent Decree shall be deferred to the extent and for the duration that the delay in compliance is caused by an event or circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the County and that could not have been reasonably foreseen and prevented by the exercise of due diligence by the County. Delays solely caused by unanticipated or

increased costs or expenses associated with the completion of any work or activity under this Consent Decree, changed financial circumstances, or the County's failure to make timely and bona fide applications and to exercise diligent efforts to obtain permits, shall not be considered to be circumstances beyond the County's control.

## IV. SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS

- 14. Upon the entry of this Consent Decree, River Watch, on behalf of itself and its members, successors, and assigns, agrees that it releases, acquits, and forever discharges the County, and its employees, officials, officers, volunteers, successors and assigns, from all Clean Water Act claims, rights, liabilities, and causes of action, known or unknown, based upon the claims alleged under the Clean Water Act in River Watch's Notice of Intent or the Complaint in this lawsuit that occurred at any time up to and including the effective date of this Consent Decree and for four (4) years thereafter.
- 15. All Parties acknowledge and agree that this release applies to all claims in existence at the time of execution of this Consent Decree that any party may have against any other party arising out of the operation of the County's Storm Water System and its compliance with its NPDES permit, except any obligations arising under the terms of this Consent Decree.
- 16. The Parties acknowledge that they are familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code. Each party expressly waives and relinquishes any rights and benefits which they have or may have under Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California, which provides:
  - "A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor."
- 17. The releases set forth in this Consent Decree are not conditioned upon timely compliance by the County with obligations under Paragraphs 4 though 14 of this Consent Decree, and River Watch agrees that its exclusive remedies for a breach of this Consent Decree by the

CV 13-1087 CRB [Proposed] Consent Decree and Order

18.

4

8

13

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

2324

2526

27 28 County shall be to move the Court for specific performance, contempt and any other remedies available under law.

- In further consideration of the County's agreement to enter into this Consent Decree, River Watch, for itself, and its members, officers, employees, contractors, subcontractors, consultants, agents, assigns and volunteers and each and every one of them acting under River Watch's direction and/or control, covenants and agrees not to sue or take any other steps to enforce any claims, rights, liabilities, or causes of action released hereby. Furthermore, River Watch, for itself and its members, successors, assigns, officers, employees, contractors, subcontractors, consultants, agents and volunteers and each and all persons acting in concert or participating with them, are restrained and enjoined from prosecuting any citizen's suit arising out of any violations of the Clean Water Act committed by the County during the four (4) year period from the effective date of this Consent Decree. River Watch's sole remedy against the County during this period shall be limited to enforcement of this Consent Decree by motion for court order. River Watch further covenants and agrees that, at least sixty (60) days before filing any such motion with the Court, it shall notify the County in writing of what actions or inactions by the County it deems to be in violation of this Consent Decree. Thereafter, the Parties will meet and confer in a good faith attempt to resolve their disputes hereunder. If the Parties cannot informally resolve the dispute, they will make a good faith effort to mediate the case under the ADR Rules of the Northern District of California prior to the filing of any motion to enforce this Consent Decree. The auspices of the ADR office of this Court may be used to effectuate such mediation. In the event that the County thereafter corrects the action or inaction that River Watch contends constitutes a violation of this Consent Decree within sixty (60) days, no motion shall be made to the court by either party.
- 19. River Watch covenants and agrees not to cause any third party to commence a citizen's suit against the County under the Clean Water Act for any permit violations that occurred

prior to the effective of this Consent Decree or for a period of four (4) years from the effective date of this Consent Decree.

- 20. This Consent Decree does not limit or affect the rights of the County as to any persons not parties to this Consent Decree.
- 21. Execution of this Consent Decree does not preclude the County from asserting any legal or factual position in any action brought by any person or entity not a party to this Consent Decree.
- 22. Neither this Consent Decree nor the action taken hereunder shall constitute an admission by the County of liability for any violation of the Clean Water Act or applicable California law regarding any of the matters referenced in this Consent Decree.

### V. ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS

- 23. Within fifteen (15) days of entry of the Consent Decree by the Court, the County shall pay River Watch the sum of \$40,000.00 in full satisfaction of all claims by River Watch for attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action. The County's check or warrant shall be made payable to California River Watch and mailed to the Law Office of Jack Silver, P.O. Box 5469, Santa Rosa, CA 95402-5469.
- 24. Except as stated in paragraph 23 above, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs and expenses.

## VI. NOTICE TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

25. The Parties acknowledge and agree that entry of this Consent Decree is subject to the requirements of Section 505(c)(3) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1365(c)(3), which provides that "[n]o consent judgment shall be entered in an action in which the United States is not a party prior to 45 days following receipt of a copy of the proposed consent judgment by the Attorney General and the [EPA] Administrator." Within five (5) business days following the Parties' execution of this document, the County shall serve copies upon the EPA Administrator, the Attorney General and the Regional Administrator of EPA Region IX

CV 13-1087 CRB

[Proposed] Consent Decree and Order

25

26

27

29.

In the event that either party brings an action to enforce its rights under this Consent

Decree, the relief the court is empowered to award is limited to injunctive relief to take

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

CV 13-1087 CRB [Proposed] Consent Decree and Order 28

action specified in this Consent Decree.

- This Consent Decree is made and entered into under the laws of the State of California and 30. the United States and shall be interpreted, governed and enforced pursuant to these laws.
- 31. Should any provision of this Consent Decree be held invalid or illegal, such illegality shall not invalidate the remainder of this Consent Decree. In that event, this Consent Decree shall be construed as if it did not contain the invalid or illegal part, and the rights and obligations of the Parties shall be construed and enforced accordingly.
- 32. The Parties have mutually negotiated this Consent Decree and the doctrine of contra proferentum does not apply.
- 33. Each signatory of this Consent Decree signing on behalf of another, warrants that he or she has the authority to sign on behalf of said person or entity and all persons covered by this Consent Decree. This Consent Decree may be executed in counterparts with each counterpart being interpreted as an original.
- 34. This Consent Decree contains the entire agreement of the Parties and shall not be modified by any prior oral or written agreement, representations or understanding. Prior drafts of this Consent Decree shall not be used in any action involving the interpretation or enforcement of this Consent Decree. This Consent Decree shall not be amended or modified except by the written order of this Court. Any modification of this Consent Decree by the Parties shall be in writing and approved by the Court before it will be deemed effective.
- 35. This Consent decree shall expire four (4) years after the date it is entered.

VIII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

36. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to resolve any disputes arising hereunder for a period of four (4) years from its entry. After this four-year period has elapsed, the County's obligation to comply with

the injunctive relief provided for herein shall terminate. 1 2 3 IT IS SO AGREED AND STIPULATED: DATED: 1-6-14 **COUNTY OF MENDOCINO** 4 5 6 STEVE DUNICLIFF, Director 7 Planning and Building Services 8 DATED: \_1-3-14 COUNTY OF MENDOCINO 10 HOWARD DASHIELL, Director 11 Department of Transportation 12 DATED: 1-2-14 COUNTY OF MENDOCINO 13 14 15 16 General Services Agency/Risk Manager 17 Approved as to Form 18 DATED: 1-2-14 COUNTY OF MENDOCINO 19 THOMAS R. PARKER, County Counsel 20 21 DOUGLAS L. LOSAK, Chief Deputy 22 Attorneys for Defendant 23 County of Mendocino 24 25 //// 26 27

CV 13-1087 CRB

28

[Proposed] Consent Decree and Order

1	DATED: 1-14-14	CALIFORNIA RIVER WATCH
2 3 4	1	Margaret Bacigalupi, President
5		
6		
7	DATED. 7 1 7	AW OFFICE OF JACK SILVER
8		y Jenny Bemlant
9	, j	erry Bernhaut
10	A	Attorney for Plaintiff California River Watch
11		
12		
13	tul.	of March , 2014.
14		01 / racon , 2014.
15	5	
16	5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17		
18	3	
19		
20		
21	1	
22		
23	3	
24	1	
25	5	
26	5	
27	[Proposed] Consent Decree and Order	10