

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

GOLDBELT HAWK, LLC,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
FLUOR INTERCONTINENTAL, INC.,  
Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-01129 NC

**REMINDER NOTICE OF  
UPCOMING TRIAL AND FINAL  
PRETRIAL CONFERENCE**

This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a **FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE** on November 27, 2013, at 2:00 p.m., with a **JURY TRIAL** on December 9, 2013. Please consult the existing case management order and review and follow all standing guidelines and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the Court’s website at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>. Continuances will rarely be granted.

The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the shape of the upcoming trial will be determined, including in limine orders, time limits and exhibit mechanics. Lead trial counsel must attend.

To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been

1 reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed  
2 agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a fully-  
3 executed and unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire  
4 case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial  
5 act remains, the Court will arrange a status conference to work out an alternate procedure  
6 pending a formal dismissal.

7 Please state whether the Court can be of further ADR assistance (but avoid stating  
8 offers, counteroffers or dollar amounts).

9 In this case, the Court wishes to consider the following additional trial procedures  
10 and desires that counsel meet and confer and reach a stipulation concerning whether and  
11 how to use them:

- 12 1. Scheduling opposing experts so as to appear in successive order;
- 13 2. Giving preliminary instructions on the law;
- 14 3. Allowing limited pre-closing deliberations (as per, e.g., Rule 39(f) of the  
15 Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure); and
- 16 4. Allowing each side fifteen minutes of opening/argument time to be used  
17 during the evidence time.

18 Please present the results of your stipulation (or not) in the joint pretrial conference  
19 submissions.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Date: November 22, 2013

  
Nathanael M. Cousins  
United States Magistrate Judge