## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 Northern District of California 9 10 San Francisco Division 11 ANNE-STEPHANIE LEROY-GARCIA, No. C 13-01181 LB 12 Plaintiff, DER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S ND DEFENDANTS' STIPULATED v. 13 MOTION TO RESCHEDULE BRAVE ARTS LICENSING, LLC, et al., HEARING 14 Defendants. [Re: ECF No. 16] 15 Plaintiff filed her complaint on March 15, 2013. Eight Defendants have appeared, one 16 17 Defendant has been voluntarily dismissed, and four Defendants have not yet appeared (although two 18 of them have waived service of the complaint and summons and presumably will answer or 19 otherwise respond to the complaint). The eight Defendants who have appeared filed a motion to 20 transfer this action another district, and they noticed this motion for hearing on June 20, 2013. 21 Now, Plaintiff and the eight appearing Defendants filed a stipulated motion to advance the 22 hearing on the motion to transfer from June 20, 2013 to June 6, 2013. The reason for this is that 23 Plaintiff's lead counsel will be out of town from June 8, 2013 though the end of the month. 24 Upon consideration of the parties' motion and the court's schedule, the court **DENIES** the 25 motion. The court's June 6, 2013 calendar is considerable and the court does not believe that the 26 parties provided good cause for advancing, rather than postponing, the hearing. Moreover, the four 27 Defendants who have not yet appeared still have not consented to the court's jurisdiction. 28 Accordingly, because Plaintiff's counsel is not available on June 20, 2013, the court **CONTINUES**

C 13-01181 LB ORDER the hearing on the eight appearing Defendants' motion to transfer to **Wednesday**, **July 3**, **2013 at 9:30 a.m.** If this date does not work for the parties, they shall meet and confer and Defendants may re-notice the motion to a later date, such as July 18, 2013 or August 1, 2013.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 15, 2013

LAUREL BEELER

United States Magistrate Judge