

United States District Court

For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SETH LONG, et al.,

No. C 13-1257 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

GRACO CHILDREN'S PRODUCTS,
INC., et al.,**ORDER DENYING PRO HAC
VICE APPLICATION OF
ATTORNEYS HEIDI
DALENBERG AND JOSEPH
KRASOVEC, III**

Defendants.

The *pro hac vice* applications of Attorneys Heidi Dalenberg and Joseph Krasovec, III (Dkt. Nos. 23–24) are **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. The local rule requires that an applicant certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States *Court* or of the *highest court* of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it only identifies the state of bar membership is inadequate under the local rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 7, 2013.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE