1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7 8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	RONALD PIERCE, et al.,	No. C 13-01295 JSW
10	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DENYING RENEWED
11	V.	DEMAND THAT JUDGE WHITE COMPLY WITH PLAIN LANGUAGE OF LOCAL RULE 3-
12	CALIF CHIEF JUSTICE CANTIL- SAKAUYE, et al.,	15 AND REFER THE ISSUE OF DISOUALIFICATION UNDER 28
13	Defendants.	U.S.Č. § 144 TO ANOTHER JUDGE
14		/ (Docket No. 42.)
16		
15 16	The Court has received and consider	
16		ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court
16 17	comply with Northern District Civil Local R	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court ule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for
16 17 18	comply with Northern District Civil Local R disqualification, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Secti	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court cule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for on 144, to another judge for resolution. For the
16 17 18 19	comply with Northern District Civil Local R disqualification, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Secti reasons set forth in each of the Court's previ	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court cule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for on 144, to another judge for resolution. For the ous orders denying Plaintiffs' motions for
16 17 18	comply with Northern District Civil Local R disqualification, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Secti reasons set forth in each of the Court's previ	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court ule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for on 144, to another judge for resolution. For the ous orders denying Plaintiffs' motions for tiffs' demand that the Court comply with Local
16 17 18 19 20	comply with Northern District Civil Local R disqualification, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Secti reasons set forth in each of the Court's previ disqualification and the Order denying Plain Rule 3-15, Plaintiffs' renewed demand is DR	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court ule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for on 144, to another judge for resolution. For the ous orders denying Plaintiffs' motions for tiffs' demand that the Court comply with Local
 16 17 18 19 20 21 	comply with Northern District Civil Local R disqualification, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Secti reasons set forth in each of the Court's previ disqualification and the Order denying Plain Rule 3-15, Plaintiffs' renewed demand is DF Plaintiffs have stated that they intend	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court cule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for on 144, to another judge for resolution. For the ous orders denying Plaintiffs' motions for tiffs' demand that the Court comply with Local ENIED.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	comply with Northern District Civil Local R disqualification, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Secti reasons set forth in each of the Court's previ disqualification and the Order denying Plain Rule 3-15, Plaintiffs' renewed demand is DF Plaintiffs have stated that they intend	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court cule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for on 144, to another judge for resolution. For the ous orders denying Plaintiffs' motions for tiffs' demand that the Court comply with Local ENIED.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	comply with Northern District Civil Local R disqualification, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Secti reasons set forth in each of the Court's previ disqualification and the Order denying Plain Rule 3-15, Plaintiffs' renewed demand is DF Plaintiffs have stated that they intend before July 25, 2013, the Court shall vacate	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court cule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for on 144, to another judge for resolution. For the ous orders denying Plaintiffs' motions for tiffs' demand that the Court comply with Local ENIED. I to appeal. If Plaintiffs file a notice of appeal on or the hearings scheduled for July 26, 2013, pending a
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	comply with Northern District Civil Local R disqualification, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Secti reasons set forth in each of the Court's previ disqualification and the Order denying Plain Rule 3-15, Plaintiffs' renewed demand is DF Plaintiffs have stated that they intend before July 25, 2013, the Court shall vacate decision from the Ninth Circuit.	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court cule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for on 144, to another judge for resolution. For the ous orders denying Plaintiffs' motions for tiffs' demand that the Court comply with Local ENIED. I to appeal. If Plaintiffs file a notice of appeal on or the hearings scheduled for July 26, 2013, pending a
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	comply with Northern District Civil Local R disqualification, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Secti reasons set forth in each of the Court's previ disqualification and the Order denying Plain Rule 3-15, Plaintiffs' renewed demand is DF Plaintiffs have stated that they intend before July 25, 2013, the Court shall vacate decision from the Ninth Circuit. IT IS SO ORDERED.	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court cule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for on 144, to another judge for resolution. For the ous orders denying Plaintiffs' motions for tiffs' demand that the Court comply with Local ENIED. I to appeal. If Plaintiffs file a notice of appeal on or the hearings scheduled for July 26, 2013, pending a
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	comply with Northern District Civil Local R disqualification, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Secti reasons set forth in each of the Court's previ disqualification and the Order denying Plain Rule 3-15, Plaintiffs' renewed demand is DF Plaintiffs have stated that they intend before July 25, 2013, the Court shall vacate decision from the Ninth Circuit. IT IS SO ORDERED.	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court cule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for on 144, to another judge for resolution. For the ous orders denying Plaintiffs' motions for tiffs' demand that the Court comply with Local ENIED. I to appeal. If Plaintiffs file a notice of appeal on or the hearings scheduled for July 26, 2013, pending a
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	comply with Northern District Civil Local R disqualification, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Secti reasons set forth in each of the Court's previ disqualification and the Order denying Plain Rule 3-15, Plaintiffs' renewed demand is DF Plaintiffs have stated that they intend before July 25, 2013, the Court shall vacate decision from the Ninth Circuit. IT IS SO ORDERED.	ed Plaintiffs' renewed demand that this Court cule 3-15 and refer the Plaintiffs' motion for on 144, to another judge for resolution. For the ous orders denying Plaintiffs' motions for tiffs' demand that the Court comply with Local ENIED. I to appeal. If Plaintiffs file a notice of appeal on or the hearings scheduled for July 26, 2013, pending a

United States District Court For the Northern District of California