Doc. 133

Your answers must be unanimous. Question No. 1: Retaliation under Title VII Did Ms. Smith prove under Title VII that it is more likely than not that the California Hig Patrol retaliated against her for making a complaint of sexual harassment? Yes (for Ms. Smith) No (for the CHP)	hway
Question No. 1: Retaliation under Title VII Did Ms. Smith prove under Title VII that it is more likely than not that the California Hig Patrol retaliated against her for making a complaint of sexual harassment? Yes (for Ms. Smith) No (for the CHP)	hway
Did Ms. Smith prove under Title VII that it is more likely than not that the California Hig Patrol retaliated against her for making a complaint of sexual harassment? Yes (for Ms. Smith) No (for the CHP)	hway
Patrol retaliated against her for making a complaint of sexual harassment? Yes (for Ms. Smith) No (for the CHP)	hway
7 Yes (for Ms. Smith) No (for the CHP)	
8	
Question No. 2: Retaliation under California FEHA	
Did Ms. Smith prove under the California FEHA that it is more likely than not that the Ca	alifornia
Highway Patrol retaliated against her for making a complaint of sexual harassment?	
Yes (for Ms. Smith) No (for the CHP)	
If your answer to both of the above questions is "No," please skip Questions 3 and 4, and	ravian
and sign the Verdict Form. If your answer to one or both of the questions is "Yes," please	
Questions 3 and 4.	
16	
Damages 17	
Question No. 3: Economic Damages for FEHA Only	
What amount of economic damages did Ms. Smith prove?	
20 \$	
Question No. 4: Noneconomic Damages	11.13.6
What amount of noneconomic damages, such as mental and emotional pain and suffering	, did Ms.
Smith prove?	
24 \$	
25	
26 Signed: Date:	
27 Signed: Date: FOREPERSON	_