

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME L. GRIMES,	)	Nos. C 13-1370 JSW (PR)
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b>ORDER OF DISMISSAL</b>
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
CORADONA, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

Plaintiff, an inmate in the Napa State Hospital and frequent litigator in this Court, has recently filed this pro se civil rights case. On May 18, 2000, this Court informed Plaintiff that under the "three-strikes" provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) he generally is ineligible to proceed *in forma pauperis* in federal court with civil actions filed while he is incarcerated. See *Grimes v. Oakland Police Dept.*, C 00-1100 CW (Order Dismissing Complaint, 5/18/00). Since then, Plaintiff has continued to file hundreds of civil rights actions seeking *in forma pauperis* status. With respect to each action filed, the Court conducts a preliminary review to assess the nature of the allegations and to determine whether Plaintiff alleges facts which bring him within the "imminent danger of serious physical injury" exception to § 1915(g). In the past, Plaintiff has routinely been granted leave to amend to pay the full filing fee and to state cognizable claims for relief, but he has habitually failed to do so. For example, in 2003 alone Plaintiff's failure to comply resulted in the dismissal of approximately thirty-six actions under § 1915(g).

In accord with this ongoing practice, the Court has reviewed the allegations in the


1 present action and finds that Plaintiff alleges no facts which bring him within the  
2 "imminent danger" clause. The complaint alleges that his privacy is being invaded "with  
3 terror intent" and other nonsensical or inherently implausible allegations such as that a  
4 member of the kitchen crew is "a dirty bomb trainer." On numerous occasions, Plaintiff  
5 has been informed that allegations such as these do not establish imminent danger.  
6 Therefore, it would be futile to grant Plaintiff leave to amend. And even if Plaintiff did  
7 amend, he would be required to pay the \$350.00 filing fee, which he has never done.

8 Accordingly, this case is DISMISSED without prejudice under § 1915(g). The  
9 application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is DENIED. No fee is due. If Plaintiff is so  
10 inclined, he may bring his claims in a new action accompanied by the \$350.00 filing fee.  
11 In any event, the Court will continue to review under § 1915(g) all future actions filed by  
12 Plaintiff while he is incarcerated in which he seeks *in forma pauperis* status.

13 The Clerk of the Court shall close the files and terminate all pending motions in  
14 the cases listed in the caption of this order.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 DATED: May 1, 2013

17   
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 JEFFREY S. WHITE  
20 United States District Judge  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE  
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
4

5 JEROME L GRIMES,  
6 Plaintiff,  
7

Case Number: CV13-01370 JSW

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

8 v.


9 CORADON et al,  
10 Defendant.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District  
12 Court, Northern District of California.

13 That on May 1, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said  
14 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing  
15 said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery  
16 receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

17 Jerome L. Grimes  
18 Napa State Hospital  
19 #206586-0/ Unit Q-1 & 2  
20 2100 Napa Vallejo Highway  
21 Napa, CA 94558

22 Dated: May 1, 2013

  
23 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
24 By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk  
25  
26  
27  
28