

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLES KINNEY,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-01396-MMC](#)

**ORDER RE: OBJECTION TO  
RELATED CASE ORDERS**

The Court is in receipt of plaintiff's "Objection to Related Case Orders Entered in the Non-Pending CV16-1260," filed August 9, 2016. Having read and considered the Objection, the Court rules as follows:

1. To the extent the Objection challenges orders by Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler finding (a) Civil Case No. 16-2018 MEJ is related to Civil Case No. 16-1260 LB, and (b) Civil Case Nos. 16-1260 LB and 16-2278 HSG are related to Civil Case No. 14-2187 LB, the Objection is hereby OVERRULED, for the reason that said objection is procedurally improper, the undersigned not been assigned any such case. See Civil L.R. 3-12(d)-(e) (providing motion to relate cases must list title and case number of each apparently related case, and any opposition must be filed in the lowest-numbered of those cases).


2. To the extent the Objection can be construed as a motion to relate any or all of the above-referenced five cases to Civil Case No. 13-1396 MMC, the motion is hereby DENIED, as plaintiff has failed to show Civil Case No. 13-1396 MMC and the above-listed four cases, or any of them, concern "the same parties, property, transaction or

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

event" as did. See Civil L.R. 3-12(a)(1).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 12, 2016

  
MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge