

1 John M. Vrieze, CSB #115397
 William F. Mitchell, CSB #159831
 2 MITCHELL, BRISSO, DELANEY & VRIEZE, LLP
 Attorneys at Law
 3 814 Seventh Street
 P. O. Drawer 1008
 4 Eureka, CA 95502
 Tel: (707) 443-5643
 5 Fax: (707) 444-9586

6 Attorneys for Defendants Alexander, Wilson,
 Fleshman, Cain, Salatnay and County of Del Norte
 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

11 BARRY BROWN, JENNIFER BROWN,
 JANE DOE 1, and JANE DOE 2,

12 Plaintiffs,
 13

14 vs.

15 JON ALEXANDER, DEAN WILSON,
 ED FLESHMAN, JULIE CAIN,
 CINDY SALATNAY, COUNTY OF DEL
 16 NORTE, and DONALD CROCKETT

17 Defendants.
 18

Case No.: CV 13-1451 - RS (LB)

~~[PROPOSED]~~ FURTHER DISCOVERY
 ORDERS

19 The order to show cause hearing regarding plaintiff's repeated failures to comply
 20 with the Court's prior discovery orders was held March 10, 2016, before Magistrate
 21 Judge Laurel Beeler.

22 William Mitchell was present for the County defendants, and Thomas Petersen
 23 appeared by phone. Attorney Patricia Barry failed to appear by phone or in person for
 24 the scheduled hearing.

25 The Court orders plaintiff Jennifer Brown, through her counsel Patricia Barry, to
 26 do the following on or before **March 23, 2016**:

1 1. Plaintiff shall provide verified responses to interrogatories propounded by the
2 County of Del Norte, originally propounded on August 26, 2015;

3 2. Plaintiff shall provide response to each request in the County of Del Norte’s
4 request for production of documents (“RFP”) originally propounded on August 26, 2015.
5 If plaintiff does not possess documents (in either paper or electronic form) responsive to a
6 requests, then the response shall so state for that request. Otherwise, the documents must
7 be produced to defendants;

8 3. Plaintiff shall provide response to each request in the County of Del Norte’s
9 RFP originally propounded on February 24, 2015. If plaintiff does not possess
10 documents (in either paper or electronic form) responsive to a request, then the response
11 shall so state for that request. Otherwise, the documents must be produced to defendants;

12 4. In response to defendant Alexander’s RFP (Set Two), Nos. 1 & 3, plaintiff
13 responded, “I will produce these track phone records related to this request.” Plaintiff
14 shall produce these documents to defendants. If plaintiff is unable to retrieve this
15 information from her phone, and did not retain the billing statements for the subject track
16 phones, then plaintiff shall list the phone numbers and service provider for the track
17 phones referenced in the aforementioned response;

18 5. In response to defendant Fleshmans’s RFP (Set Two), Nos. 1 & 3, plaintiff
19 responded, “I will produce these track phone records related to this request.” Plaintiff
20 shall produce these documents to defendants. If plaintiff is unable to retrieve this
21 information from her phone, and did not retain the billing statements for the subject track
22 phones, then plaintiff shall list the phone numbers and service provider for the track
23 phones referenced in the aforementioned response.

24 The Court further orders a further hearing on the Court’s Order to Show Cause on
25 **March 24, 2016**, at 9:30 a.m., in Courtroom C, 15th floor, at which time plaintiff’s
26 counsel shall confirm compliance with the above orders, Nos. 1-5.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

DATED: March 15, 2016

MITCHELL, BRISSO, DELANEY & VRIEZE, LLP

By: /s/ William F. Mitchell

William F. Mitchell
Attorneys for Defendants Alexander,
Wilson, Fleshman, and County of Del Norte

Date: March 17, 2016

