

1 The County's Motion is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part, as
2 is Crocket's. The parties may file under seal their request for
3 judicial notice and declarations, as well as the documents attached
4 thereto. However, the parties' request to file under seal their
5 motions to dismiss is DENIED without prejudice. Pursuant to Civil
6 Local Rule 79-5(a), sealing requests must be narrowly tailored, and
7 not all information contained in the parties' motions is privileged
8 or otherwise entitled to protection. The County Defendants and
9 Crocket may re-file their administrative motions pursuant to Civil
10 Local Rule 79-5(c), which governs requests to file portions of
11 documents under seal. The administrative motions should propose
12 redactions to the parties' respective motions to dismiss and
13 specifically explain why those redactions are necessary.

14 Crocket's request to seal the entire case is also DENIED.
15 Crocket has offered no authority that would support such a drastic
16 remedy. Nor has he enunciated compelling reasons for sealing the
17 entire case. His request is conclusory and does not address the
18 fact that almost all of the docket entries in this matter,
19 including the Complaint, have been available to the public since
20 the case was filed in April 2013.

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 15, 2013


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE