

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

ADLEAN FRANCIS,

Plaintiff,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Defendant.

Case No. 13-cv-01482 NC

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
CASE SHOULD NOT BE  
DISMISSED**

On June 14, 2013, Wells Fargo moved to dismiss all claims in the second amended complaint filed by Francis. Dkt. Nos. 20, 22. Civil Local Rule 7-3(a) requires parties to file and serve an opposition within fourteen days after a motion is filed. In the alternative, a party who does not oppose the motion must file a statement of nonopposition with the Court within fourteen days. Civ. L.R. 7-3(b). Where, as in this case, the motion was not served through the Court's Electronic Case Filing (ECF) system, *see* Dkt. No. 20 at 13, these deadlines are extended by 3 days. Civ. L.R. 7-3(a). Accordingly, Francis's response to Wells Fargo's motion was due by July 1, 2013. Francis has not filed a response. The notice of unavailability filed by Francis, Dkt. No. 19, is for the period from July 1 through July 14, 2013, and does not explain the failure to file a response to the motion to dismiss. "Failure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper ground for dismissal." *Ghazali v. Moran*,

Case No. 13-cv-01482 NC  
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

1 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Accordingly, Francis has until July 19, 2013 to oppose  
2 Wells Fargo's motion to dismiss (or file a statement of nonopposition) and to show cause  
3 why this action should not be dismissed. Francis's failure to respond will result in dismissal  
4 of this case with prejudice.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Date: July 11, 2013

7   
8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 Nathanael M. Cousins  
10 United States Magistrate Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28