

1
2
3
4
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 JEROME L. GRIMES,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 FEICHTINGER, et al.,

12 Defendants.
13 _____

) No. C 13-1686 JSW (PR)

) **ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

14
15 Plaintiff, an inmate in the Napa State Hospital and frequent litigator in this Court,
16 has recently filed this pro se civil rights case. On May 18, 2000, this Court informed
17 Plaintiff that under the "three-strikes" provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) he generally is
18 ineligible to proceed *in forma pauperis* in federal court with civil actions filed while he is
19 incarcerated. *See Grimes v. Oakland Police Dept.*, C 00-1100 CW (Order Dismissing
20 Complaint, 5/18/00). Since then, Plaintiff has continued to file hundreds of civil rights
21 actions seeking *in forma pauperis* status. With respect to each action filed, the Court
22 conducts a preliminary review to assess the nature of the allegations and to determine
23 whether Plaintiff alleges facts which bring him within the "imminent danger of serious
24 physical injury" exception to § 1915(g). In the past, Plaintiff has routinely been granted
25 leave to amend to pay the full filing fee and to state cognizable claims for relief, but he
26 has habitually failed to do so. For example, in 2003 alone Plaintiff's failure to comply
27 resulted in the dismissal of approximately thirty-six actions under § 1915(g).

28 In accord with this ongoing practice, the Court has reviewed the allegations in the


1 present action and finds that Plaintiff alleges no facts which bring him within the
2 "imminent danger" clause. The complaint makes a series of nonsensical or plainly
3 implausible allegations, including that medical personnel at this prison are engaged in
4 "covert terrorism," "co-child abduction concealments," and "cannibalism with terror-
5 intent." Therefore, it would be futile to grant Plaintiff leave to amend. And even if
6 Plaintiff did amend, he would be required to pay the \$350.00 filing fee, which he has
7 never done.

8 Accordingly, this case is DISMISSED without prejudice under § 1915(g). The
9 application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is DENIED. No fee is due. If Plaintiff is so
10 inclined, he may bring his claims in a new action accompanied by the \$350.00 filing fee.
11 In any event, the Court will continue to review under § 1915(g) all future actions filed by
12 Plaintiff while he is incarcerated in which he seeks *in forma pauperis* status.

13 The Clerk of the Court shall close the files and terminate all pending motions in
14 the cases listed in the caption of this order.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 DATED: May 1, 2013

17 
18 JEFFREY S. WHITE
19 United States District Judge
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4

5 JEROME GRIMES,

Case Number: CV13-01686 JSW

6 Plaintiff,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

7 v.

8 DR. FEICHTINGER ET AL et al,


9 Defendant.
10 _____/

11 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
12 Court, Northern District of California.

13 That on May 1, 2013, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
14 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing
15 said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery
16 receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

17 Jerome L. Grimes
18 Napa State Hospital
19 #206586-0/ Unit Q-1 & 2
20 2100 Napa Vallejo Highway
21 Napa, CA 94558

22 Dated: May 1, 2013


Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk