1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 9 CARYL A. SARGENT, No. C 13-01690 WHA 10 Plaintiff. 11 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE v. 12 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 On May 9, 2013, defendants filed a motion to dismiss. As plaintiff failed to timely file a 16 response, an order issued setting a briefing schedule pursuant to which plaintiff's response was 17 due by JUNE 13. The order further stated that failure to timely respond to the motion to dismiss 18 may result in the motion being granted or the action being dismissed for failure to prosecute. 19 Plaintiff's deadline for filing a response has come and gone and no response has been received. 20 Accordingly, plaintiff is hereby ordered to show cause why the motion to dismiss should 21 not be granted. Plaintiff is hereby warned a second time that failure to timely respond to this 22 order may result in the motion to dismiss being granted or the action being dismissed for failure 23 to prosecute. Plaintiff's response is due by NOON ON JULY 1. Defendant's reply brief, if any, is 24 due by NOON ON JULY 8. The hearing on defendant's motion to dismiss is hereby reset for JULY 25 **18**. 26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 17, 2013.

27

28

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE