STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FOR DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND FINAL

JUDGMENT; CASE NO. 13-1750 (JST)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

WHEREAS, on April 18, 2013, Plaintiff the San Francisco Herring Association ("Plaintiff") filed this lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 13-cv-01750-JST against Defendants National Park Service (the "NPS"), et al. (collectively "Defendants");

WHEREAS, on July 10, 2013, Plaintiff filed the operative First Amended Complaint (the "Complaint") asserting two causes of action: (1) Count 1, for violation of Section 10(e)(2)(C) of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") (5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C)); and (2) Count 2, for violation of 10(e)(2)(A) of the APA (5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A)) (Dkt. 17);

WHEREAS, on March 7, 2014, the Court heard cross motions for summary judgment regarding the issue of whether the NPS has statutory authority and jurisdiction to prohibit commercial fishing in certain waters of the San Francisco Bay, an issue determinative of Count 1 and partially determinative of Count 2;

WHEREAS, on April 29, 2014, the Court granted Defendants' motion for summary judgment and denied Plaintiff's motion, finding that the NPS has such authority and jurisdiction (Dkt. 127);

WHEREAS, on May 21, 2014, the Court entered judgment for Defendants and against Plaintiff "on the entirety of Count 1 of the Complaint, and . . . all portions of Count 2 of the Complaint based on allegations that the NPS exceeded its statutory jurisdiction or authority by prohibiting commercial fishing in the waters within GGNRA [(the Golden Gate Recreation Area)]" (Dkt.129);

WHEREAS, the aforementioned judgment did not contain an express determination that there was no just reason for delay and so did not end the action as to any of the claims or parties; and

WHEREAS, the parties have reached an agreement with respect to ending the action;

28

28

THE EMBARCADERO, PIER 9, SUITE 100 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, through their designated counsel, subject to Court approval:

1. The remaining claims of Plaintiff not heretofore adjudicated or dismissed in this action (the remaining portions of Count 2) are hereby

dismissed without prejudice.

2. The parties request the Court enter final judgment in favor of Defendants

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

in the form submitted herewith.

Dated: June 3, 2015.

By: /s/ Stuart G. Gross Stuart G. Gross

> STUART G. GROSS (CSB No. 251019) sgross@gross-law.com GROSS LAW, P.C. The Embarcadero Pier 9, Suite 100 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 671-4628 Facsimile: (415) 480-6688

Counsel for Plaintiff

By: <u>/s/ Michael T. Pyle</u> Michael T. Pyle

> MICHAEL T. PYLE (CSB No. 172954) michael.t.pyle@usdoj.gov U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113

Telephone: (408) 535-5087 Facsimile: (408) 535-5081

BRUCE D. BERNARD
Bruce.bernard@usdoj.gov
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

n		\sim t	TD.	OTO		T 7 7 7	rai		
К	H.50	.) (JK	CES	1)	IVI	151	()	

999 18th Street, South Terrace, Suite 370

Denver, CO 80202

Telephone: (303) 844-1361 Facsimile: (303) 844-1350

Counsel for Defendants

ATTORNEY ATTESTATION

By the electronic signature below, counsel for Plaintiff attests that he is the CM/ECF user whose identification and password are being used to file the instant document, and that pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), counsel for Defendants provided their authority to file this document.

By: /s/ Stuart Gross
Stuart Gross

<u>ORDER</u>

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, **IT IS SO ORDERED**.

Dated: June 3, 2015

Honor ble Jon S. Tigar

United States District Court Judge